E201	Case_1:03	3 CY 12128 W CY - D	leeument <del>212</del>	Filed 19/14	<del>/2005</del>	<del>Page 1 of 33</del>	ecció ni cumo examente percuente e
1		VFIDENTIAL - ATTO					
2		OR THE DISTRICT (					
3		<del>_</del>					
4	BRAUN, GmbH						
5			Civil Action 03 CV 12428 W				
6	-against-		US CV 12428 1	1 Dv			
7	RAYOVAC COF						
8		Defendant			_		
9		TRANSCRIPT OF	PROCEEDINGS				
10	HEARING:	Deposition of S	EAN MARTIN				
11	DATE:	June 28, 2005					
12	PLACE:	740 Regent Stre	et, Madison,	WI			
13	TIME:	9 a.m.					
14	REPORTER:	Kari M. Flahert	y, RPR				
15							
16							
17							
18	Court Rep	orting Offices c	of				
19	EASTWOOD-S	TEIN DEPOSITION	MANAGEMENT				
20		400 East Wiscon					
21		Milwaukee, Wisc (414) 271-0566 (414) 273-7308	70775 TIT 23710°				
22		(414) 2/3-/308					
23							
24							
25				,			
						EXHIBIT J	

gramman og grandlikkan avensyst		240	Files 40/44/2005 Dags 2 of 22
1 CON	VFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENCE AT A TEODNESS OF THE
2 .		1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
	A R A N C E S DPES & GRAY by STANLEY D. LIANG,	2	briefly and I think Jim Shamota sent her a letter
4 1251 Ave	enue of the Americas, New York, New York	3	just wondering what you guys wanted to do in
10020 ap	peared on behalf of the Plaintiff.	4	amending the caption. We've amended it once
6 KII	RKLAND & ELLIS by KEVIN UELAND,	5	already to reflect the change in Remington/Rayovac.
	Randolph Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601 on behalf of the Defendant.	6	If you feel like we need to do that and/or
8		7	something you'd be more comfortable with, we're
T A 9 WITNES	BLE OF CONTENTS S EXAMBY PAGENO.	8	certainly amenable to do it. I don't think it's
Mr. Marti		9	necessary, but.
10 11	Mr. Ueland 85	10	MR. LIANG:
	EXHIBITS	11	Q. I'm going to refer to your company as as
12 EXHIBIT 1 noti	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE MARKED ce of deposition 6	12	Rayovac.
13 2 CO:	2 instructions 15	13	A. Okay.
3 elec	tric shaver concept test (18-cell) 27	14	
(Ex	chibits attached hereto.)	1	Q. And that would include Remington when it was
1.5 16 E	EXHIBITS PREVIOUSLY MARKED	15	Remington, when it was Rayovac, Spectrum Brands
EXHIBIT	NO. PAGE REFERENCED	16	unless there's a reason to make a distinction or
17 43 10	33 47	17	you feel like there's a reason to make a
18 11	49	18	distinction, please let me know. Is that all
42 19 39	55 59	19	right?
41	61	20	MR. UELAND: I would just add on this if
20 25 35	63 64	21	your question is unclear on what you're referring
21 38	76	22	to because the time period, I just might ask you to
28 22 56	77 82	23	put some time parameters on it.
23	02	24	MR. LIANG: Sounds good. That's fair
24 25		25	enough.
	Page 2	= 3	
	rage 2		Page 4
		_	
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
1 2	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SEAN MARTIN called as a witness herein	1 2	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  O Have you ever been deposed before?
2	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein,	2	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
2	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, aving been first duly sworn, was examined and	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>
2 3 h 4	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, aving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li></ul>
2 3 h 4 5	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, aving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, aving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION Y MR. LIANG:	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. (	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. ( 8 A. S	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please.  Sean Martin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. Q. Q. A 9 Q. A	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, aving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, naving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, aving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, naving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin. And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin. My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please.  Gean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. Q 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. II 12 R 13 W 14	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG:  Could you state your name for the record, please.  Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. (8 A. S) 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. Q 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin. And your address? 109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin. My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac. I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. (6 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. (6)	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin. And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin. My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct?  Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. ( 19 Q. I	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct?  Correct.  Has the name change gone through to Spectrum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. ( 19 Q. I 20 B'	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct?  Correct.  Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. (6) 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. II 12 R. 13 w. 14 15 w. 16 th 17 th 18 A. (6) 19 Q. J 20 Bi 21 A. I	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct?  Correct.  Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands?  t's officially Spectrum Brands, Incorporated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so. It's just for her convenience as well. It's hard</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. (6) 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. II 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. (6) 19 Q. I 20 Bi 21 A. I 22	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin. And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin. My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct? Correct. Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands? t's officially Spectrum Brands, Incorporated. MR. LIANG: For the record here, are we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so. It's just for her convenience as well. It's hard to take, you know, multiple people at the same</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. (8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. (6 19 Q. I 20 B 21 A. I 22 23 sti	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin. And your address? 109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin. My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct? Correct. Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands? t's officially Spectrum Brands, Incorporated. MR. LIANG: For the record here, are we fill Rayovac or already changed over?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so. It's just for her convenience as well. It's hard to take, you know, multiple people at the same time.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. ( 19 Q. I 20 B 21 A. I 22 23 sti 24	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is lat correct?  Correct.  Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands?  It's officially Spectrum Brands, Incorporated.  MR. LIANG: For the record here, are we still Rayovac or already changed over?  MR. UELAND: You can refer to it as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so. It's just for her convenience as well. It's hard to take, you know, multiple people at the same time.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B' 7 Q. (8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. (6 19 Q. I 20 Bi 21 A. I 22 23 sti 24	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Gean Martin. And your address? 109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin. My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is not correct? Correct. Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands? t's officially Spectrum Brands, Incorporated. MR. LIANG: For the record here, are we fill Rayovac or already changed over?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so. It's just for her convenience as well. It's hard to take, you know, multiple people at the same time.</li> </ul>
2 3 h 4 5 6 B 7 Q. ( 8 A. S 9 Q. A 10 A. 1 11 Q. I 11 Q. I 12 R 13 w 14 15 w 16 th 17 th 18 A. ( 19 Q. I 20 B 21 A. I 22 23 sti 24	SEAN MARTIN, called as a witness herein, laving been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION  Y MR. LIANG: Could you state your name for the record, please. Sean Martin.  And your address?  109 Winding Way in Middleton, Wisconsin.  My name is Stanley Liang. I'm from the law firm opes & Gray. I represent Braun in this action hich is Braun versus versus Rayovac.  I understand that there's I just anted to get it clear. There was Remington, then here was Rayovac, now there's Spectrum Brands; is lat correct?  Correct.  Has the name change gone through to Spectrum rands?  It's officially Spectrum Brands, Incorporated.  MR. LIANG: For the record here, are we still Rayovac or already changed over?  MR. UELAND: You can refer to it as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared in court?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I just want to go through some basic rules of deposition. This is as if you were appearing in court in front of a judge and jury except they aren't here. There's I'm the lawyer taking the deposition. I'll ask the questions and you answer them to the best of your ability of course.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. You sort of need to give audible answers for the court reporter. She can't really take anything like that, a shake of the head or a nod. So it should be more uh-huh, that doesn't really mean that much on the record. So if you could, if it's a yes or a no, you know, that would be great.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And if you could, one of us speak at a time, so. It's just for her convenience as well. It's hard to take, you know, multiple people at the same time.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>

	Case 1.05-cv-12426-vvG1 Document		
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	wants to take a break at any time, just let me	2	Q. Can you tell me about your educational background
3	know, that's not a problem. We're not in jail.	3	starting from college.
4	Not yet. And if you don't understand any of my	4	A. Sure. I attended Valparaiso University in
5	questions, let me know. I'll try to fix 'em for	5	Valparaiso, Indiana, studied business, received a
6	you. Okay?	6	bachelors of science degree in 1993 and then
7	A. (The witness nodded his head.)	7	attended University of Wisconsin and received my
8	Q. Is there any reason you can think of that you	8	MBA with concentration in marketing in 1998.
9	cannot you're not able to answer my questions	9	Q. Could you also describe your employment history
10	today accurately and truthfully?	10	following college.
11	A. No.	11	A. Sure. I worked in sales for the Eureka Company
12	MR. LIANG: Let's mark this. What I	12	located in Bloomington, Illinois. I managed sales
13	was This is off the record.	13	branch operations for them for about four years,
14	(Martin Exhibit No. 1 was marked for	14	the time period between my undergraduate and
15	identification.)	15	graduate studies, and then have worked for Rayovac
16	MR. LIANG: I've marked as Martin	16	since receiving my MBA.
17	Exhibit 1	17	Q. So that's since 1998; is that right?
18	MR. UELAND: Are we back on?	18	A. Mm-hmm, correct.
18 19	MR. LIANG: Yes.	19	
		1	Q. What were your duties at Rayovac when you first
20	MR. UELAND: Were we ever off?	20	Strike that. What was your job title at Rayovac
21	COURT REPORTER: Yeah.	21	when you first started in 1998?
22	MR. UELAND: Sorry.	22	A. Brand manager and I've worked on our hearing aid
23	MR. LIANG:	23	business, hearing aid battery business.
24	Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 1 a notice of	24	Q. How long were you in this position?
25	deposition of Sean Martin. Have you seen this	25	A. For roughly six to eight months.
	Page 6		Page 8
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	document before?	2	Q. And then what position did you take on?
3	THE WITNESS: Is this what we looked at	3	
		1	A. Brand manager working on our rechargeable battery
4	yesterday?	4	business.
4 5	MR. UELAND: Yes.	1	business.  Q. And how long was that for?
990	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes.	4	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.
5	MR. UELAND: Yes.	4 5	business.  Q. And how long was that for?
5 6	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes.	4 5 6	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.
5 6 7	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:	4 5 6 7	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?
5 6 7 8	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG: Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for	4 5 6 7 8	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty
5 6 7 8 9	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?	4 5 6 7 8 9	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.	4 5 6 7 8 9	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were produced in this litigation.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.  Q. And how long were you in that job?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were produced in this litigation.  MR. LIANG:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.  Q. And how long were you in that job?  A. For probably eighteen to twenty-four months.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files. MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were produced in this litigation. MR. LIANG:  Q. Did you speak to anybody else other than Mr. Ueland	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	business.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. For roughly nine months.  Q. And then what what position did you take?  A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.  Q. And how long was that for?  A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.  Q. How long was that for in that job?  A. As a senior brand manager?  Q. Right.  A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.  Q. And how long were you in that job?  A. For probably eighteen to twenty-four months.  Q. Okay. And then what job?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were produced in this litigation.  MR. LIANG:  Q. Did you speak to anybody else other than Mr. Ueland in preparation for this deposition?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>business.</li> <li>Q. And how long was that for?</li> <li>A. For roughly nine months.</li> <li>Q. And then what what position did you take?</li> <li>A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.</li> <li>Q. And how long was that for?</li> <li>A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.</li> <li>Q. How long was that for in that job?</li> <li>A. As a senior brand manager?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.</li> <li>Q. And how long were you in that job?</li> <li>A. For probably eighteen to twenty-four months.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then what job?</li> <li>A. Okay. And then was promoted to director in charge</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were produced in this litigation.  MR. LIANG:  Q. Did you speak to anybody else other than Mr. Ueland in preparation for this deposition?  A. No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>business.</li> <li>Q. And how long was that for?</li> <li>A. For roughly nine months.</li> <li>Q. And then what what position did you take?</li> <li>A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.</li> <li>Q. And how long was that for?</li> <li>A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.</li> <li>Q. How long was that for in that job?</li> <li>A. As a senior brand manager?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.</li> <li>Q. And how long were you in that job?</li> <li>A. For probably eighteen to twenty-four months.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then what job?</li> <li>A. Okay. And then was promoted to director in charge of our lighting products business.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. UELAND: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. LIANG:  Q. How would you what did you do to prepare for this deposition?  A. Spoke with Kevin yesterday afternoon.  Q. For how long?  A. Probably about five hours.  Q. Did you review documents in preparation for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember what documents they were?  A. They were documents pertaining to the case that I had provided from my own files.  MR. UELAND: I'll just represent that all the documents that Mr. Martin and I reviewed were produced in this litigation.  MR. LIANG:  Q. Did you speak to anybody else other than Mr. Ueland in preparation for this deposition?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>business.</li> <li>Q. And how long was that for?</li> <li>A. For roughly nine months.</li> <li>Q. And then what what position did you take?</li> <li>A. Brand manager on alkaline batteries and heavy-duty batteries.</li> <li>Q. And how long was that for?</li> <li>A. In that title, probably about six to eight months and then I was promoted to senior brand manager on the same business.</li> <li>Q. How long was that for in that job?</li> <li>A. As a senior brand manager?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Probably about eighteen to twenty-four months and then I was promoted again within the general battery business to category business manager for all of our general batteries.</li> <li>Q. And how long were you in that job?</li> <li>A. For probably eighteen to twenty-four months.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then what job?</li> <li>A. Okay. And then was promoted to director in charge</li> </ul>

l	Case 1:03-cv-12428-WGY Document CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	<b>212</b> 1		FIIEG 10/14/2005 Page 4 01 33 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	Q. I'm sorry, lighting?	2	Q.	On the telephone?
3	A. Lighting, flashlights, and that was fall of 2003	3	A.	Yes.
4	and then was transferred once the acquisition was	4	Q.	Have you communicated with her via e-mail or fax?
5	complete and we started the integration of	5		No.
6	Remington, then was transferred over into the	6	Q.	Okay. Or by letter?
7	Remington products and responsible for men's	7	Ā.	No.
8	shaving and women's shaving products as well as our	8	Q.	So you've only spoken with her on the phone?
9	spare parts and accessories.	9		Correct.
10	Q. So that was in what year?	10	Q.	When was the first time? Sorry, go ahead.
11	A. That was in spring of 2004, March/April.	11		Correct.
12	Q. So before spring of 2004 you were strictly Rayovac	12	Q.	When was the first time you spoke with her?
13	and not Remington?	13		I don't recall the exact date but sometime in the
14	A. Correct. Correct.	14	]	last two months.
15	Q. And you had nothing to do with the shaving business	15	Q.	How many times would you estimate you've spoken
16	that Remington was developing until then, until	16		with her in the last two months?
17	spring of 2004?	17	A.	Twice.
18	A. Correct.	18	Q.	And how long each time?
19	Q. Could you describe your current duties, that is	19	_	Roughly forty-five minutes to an hour.
20	you're in charge of men's shaving men's and	20	Q.	Each time?
21	women's shaving products?	21	Ā.	Each time, correct.
22	A. Sure. I have full responsibility for the marketing	22	Q.	Did you speak to her in preparation for this
23	mix, everything from product development and	23		deposition?
24	pricing to advertising and promotion, public	24	A.	No.
25	relations. I have responsibility for our P & L	25	Q.	What was the reason for you to speak with her on
	Page 10			Page 12
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1		CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	statement on those businesses, responsible for	2		those two occasions?
3	developing sales materials, business analysis,	1		NATIONAL TO THE STATE OF THE ST
4		3		MR. UELAND: I'm gonna object and
8	market analysis, strategic business planning.	3 4		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of
5				_ <del>_</del>
8	market analysis, strategic business planning.	4		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of
5	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?	4 5		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.
5	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for	4 5 6		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that
5 6 7	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.	4 5 6 7	,	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is
5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> <li>A. Currently I have three positions that report to me</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get
5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> <li>A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> <li>A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.</li> <li>Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> <li>A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.</li> <li>Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> <li>A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.</li> <li>Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>market analysis, strategic business planning.</li> <li>Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?</li> <li>A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.</li> <li>Q. How many people report to you?</li> <li>A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.</li> <li>Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?  A. I only know of her through association with this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?  MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what's the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?  A. I only know of her through association with this case.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?  MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what's the question?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?  A. I only know of her through association with this case.  Q. When did you first meet with her?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?  MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what's the question?  MR. UELAND: Could you read it back.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?  A. I only know of her through association with this case.  Q. When did you first meet with her?  A. I've never met with her.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?  MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what's the question?  MR. UELAND: Could you read it back.  (The requested portion was read back.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?  A. I only know of her through association with this case.  Q. When did you first meet with her?  A. I've never met with her?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?  MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what's the question?  MR. UELAND: Could you read it back.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: I'm gonna object, lack of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	market analysis, strategic business planning.  Q. That's a lot of work. Who who do you report to?  A. Jim Doyle, our divisional vice president for Remington products.  Q. How many people report to you?  A. Currently I have three positions that report to me but one is an open position so I have two direct reports right now.  Q. And they're marketing people; is that correct?  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG: Can we go off the record one second.  (Off the record.)  Q. Do you know who Laura Stamm is, S T A M M?  A. I do.  Q. How how do you know of her?  A. I only know of her through association with this case.  Q. When did you first meet with her?  A. I've never met with her.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	instruct the witness not to answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.  MR. LIANG: So your objection is that this is  MR. UELAND: I object to the form of the way you asked the question. I think you can get the information that you're looking for just asking it a different way.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Could you repeat the question. I just I don't remember it.  (The requested portion was read back.)  Who asked you to speak with Laura Stamm?  Kevin did.  Did you provide her with any documents?  No.  Did you did she discuss any documents with you?  MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what's the question?  MR. UELAND: Could you read it back.  (The requested portion was read back.)

	Case 1.03-cv-12426-vvG1 Document	212	Filed 10/14/2005 Page 5 of 33
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	MR. LIANG:	2	all three lines or are you asking is it being
3	Q. You can answer.	3	developed for all men's shavers, all foil shavers?
1	_	4	
4	A. I'll listen to my attorney.		MR. LIANG: I'll change that.
5	MR. UELAND: I'm sorry. You have to	5	MR. UELAND: Okay.
6	answer the question. Answer the question if you	6	MR. LIANG:
7	know.	7	Q. Is the CO2 cleaning system being developed for the
8	THE WITNESS: Did she review any	8	men's rotary dry cleaner?
9	documents with me?	9	A. Yes.
10	MR. LIANG:	10	Q. Is it being developed for the foil dry cleaner for
11	Q. Did she discuss any documents?	11	men?
1		l	
12	A. Discuss any documents with me?	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. The contents of any documents with you.	13	Q. And is it being developed for the women's shaver?
14	A. Not that I recall.	14	A. No.
15	Q. What did you talk to her about?	15	Q. Is the women's shaver on the market foil only? I
16	A. We talked about development of our air cleaning	16	just want to know.
17	system. We talked about pricing of the current	17	A. It is a foil shaving system.
18	men's shaving clean systems on the marketplace.	18	Q. And is the CO2 cleaning system also referred to as
19	Q. When you say pricing of current system, that's the	19	air cleaning system?
8		l	5.
20	Rayovac system; is that right?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. The Remington	21	Q. Are there other air cleaning systems being
22	Q. The Remington.	22	developed other than the CO2 system?
23	A shaving system, correct, as well as competitive	23	A. No.
24	shaving systems.	24	Q. Does the marketing that's your department have any
25	Q. Anything else that you discussed with her?	25	input in the development of the air cleaning
	Page 14		Page 16
			1 age 10
1	CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EVES ONLY	1	CONTENTENTE AT ATTODNEYS EVES ONLY
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	A. Not that I recall.	2	system?
2 3	<ul><li>A. Not that I recall.</li><li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li></ul>	2 3	system? A. Yes.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Not that I recall.</li><li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li><li>A. No, not that I recall.</li></ul>	2	system? A. Yes. Q. What is the involvement?
2 3	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in</li> </ul>	2 3	system? A. Yes.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Not that I recall.</li><li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li><li>A. No, not that I recall.</li></ul>	2 3 4	system? A. Yes. Q. What is the involvement?
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>system?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. What is the involvement?</li><li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it being developed for all for the foil,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it being developed for all for the foil, rotary and the women's shaver products, all of them</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. When when you first started in spring of 2004 on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it being developed for all for the foil,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. When when you first started in spring of 2004 on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it being developed for all for the foil, rotary and the women's shaver products, all of them</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. When when you first started in spring of 2004 on this product, what what step was it at at that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it being developed for all for the foil, rotary and the women's shaver products, all of them or individually?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. When when you first started in spring of 2004 on this product, what what step was it at at that time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken with Samuel Phillips?</li> <li>A. No, not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you speak to any other expert for Rayovac in this case?</li> <li>A. No.  (Martin Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>Q. I've marked as Martin Exhibit 2 a document entitled CO2 rotary shaver cleaner operating instructions, prototype vintage number six. Have you seen this document before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. The CO2 cleaning system is being developed right now at Rayovac; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this is it's being developed for your dry shaver products; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it being developed for all for the foil, rotary and the women's shaver products, all of them or individually?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>system?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is the involvement?</li> <li>A. We have tested the product concept with consumers and I was directly involved in development of that research. We have been involved in evaluating the feasibility of the product in the marketplace and evaluating the usage and application of the CO2 cartridges themselves.</li> <li>Q. So the this cleaning system uses a a cartridge system with CO2 in it; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. What when did Rayovac first decide to develop the air cleaning system?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I believe that it predated my involvement on the business.</li> <li>Q. So when you come when Rayovac and Remington merged in spring of 2004, this was already being developed; is that right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. When when you first started in spring of 2004 on this product, what what step was it at at that time?</li> </ul>

# Case 1:03-cv-12428-WGY Docume CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Filed 10/14/2005 Page 6 of 33 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 2 Q. Was a prototype already made? for purchase intent when you did the consumer 3 A. Yes. 3 testing on this product? Q. Had consumer testing on that prototype been done 4 A. Okay. It was at parity with the current cleaning 5 5 already? systems that are on the market. We benchmarked our 6 foil cleaning system as well as Braun Syncro and it A. No. 6 Q. What was the next step that came once you took over 7 was at parity to those on the top two box basis at 8 a statically significant level. this product line? 8 9 A. The next step then was actually the consumer Q. Has Rayovac done any marketing analysis as to 9 10 whether or not this air cleaning product would take 10 concept tests. 11 Q. Was the consumer concept test done on this away sales from your current cleaning systems, that 11 12 is the liquid base cleaning systems? 12 prototype that we see here? A. No. It was an illustration and text that explained 13 A. No. 13 14 the product concept. 14 Q. Have -- have you had any consideration on that 15 Q. I see. So the testing was not done on the product 15 point, that is would the air cleaning system take 16 but was done just to -- you're just telling the 16 away sales from your own liquid cleaning products? A. Yes. 17 consumer this is a possible product, what do you 17 think about that; is that right? Q. And the -- what is the answer to that 18 18 19 19 A. Correct. consideration? 20 A. The consideration right now is that it would be 20 Q. What was the next step in the development of this 21 21 incremental to our current cleaning system, that it product? A. The next step was the optimization of actually how 22 may cannibalize some of the base sales but 22 23 you delivered the blast of air. There were 23 ultimately if we launch both systems into the 24 market, it would be incremental to our business. 24 different versions that were being considered. Q. Who -- who designed -- who is designing this Q. So the intent of Rayovac at this time is to have Page 18 Page 20 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 2 product? both systems, the liquid cleaning system and the 2 3 A. Internal Remington engineering resources. air system, on the market at the same time; is that 4 4 Q. Do you know who specifically? right? 5 A. Yuri Avila is heading up the initiative and the 5 A. We haven't made that decision yet. 6 engineers that report to him, the individual is Jim Q. Do you know when you're going to make that 6 7 Chasen. 7 decision? Q. What was the result -- You spoke of consumer A. No. 8 8 testing. What was the result of that testing? 9 9 Q. Is there any consideration --10 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. You can 10 11 answer. 11 Q. Withdraw that. Who are the competitors for this THE WITNESS: Okay. The result of the 12 air cleaning system on the marketplace right now? 12 13 testing was -- the objective of the testing was to 13 MR. UELAND: Are you asking right now or 14 measure purchase intent, uniqueness, believability, 14 who would be? I guess I'm --15 likability and then measurement on different 15 MR. LIANG: Right now, yeah. On the 16 attributes related to the core category benefits of 16 marketplace right now would you be competing 17 17 closeness and comfort. And so the results were against, which products would you compete against 18 quantitative scores relating to those different 18 now if you put the CO2 system on the market. 19 matrix. So for example, on purchase intent we 19 MR. UELAND: Okay. 20 would evaluate our -- the top two boxes: 20 THE WITNESS: We would define the definitely would purchase or probably would 21 competitive set fairly broadly in this instance. 21 22 22 purchase, and evaluate that relative to other It would include Norelco, it would include Braun 23 benchmarks that we've established. 23 and it would include our own cleaning systems. MR. LIANG: 24 MR. LIANG: 24 Q. Let's go with purchase intent. What was the result 25 25 Q. Does Norelco have a cleaning system on the market Page 19 Page 21

peror account see	Gasc 4:03-sv-12428-WCY - Decument	212	Filed 49/44/2005 Page 7 of 23
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	right now?	2	Q. Is the engineering group still working on it in
3	A. They don't currently. They have plans and have	3	further designing this product?
4	announced plans publically to launch this fall with	4	A. Yes.
5	a cleaning system but regardless if if they had	5	Q. Is there any concern that the CO2 cartridge might
6	a cleaning system on the market or not, we would	6	need safety approval?
7	still consider them a competitor for the product.	7	A. It would be it might be a consideration but it's
8	Q. Is that because a cleaning system adds some value	8	not a major concern right now.
9	to the dry shaver that would allow people to want	9	Q. Is there a finished product right now ready to go
10	to buy it?	10	to the market?
11	A. It's because if we were selling a rotary product,	11	A. No.
12	consumers There's a high level of brand loyalty	12	Q. When would it be ready?
13	and cutting system loyalty. So if we were selling	13	A. It could be ready as early as June of 2006.
14	a rotary system, we would be competing with Norelco	14	Q. What does it take for it to go from where you are
15	directly on those products regardless if they had a	15	now to being ready to go to the market, what steps
16	cleaning system or not. That's why I would	16	are necessary from here on?
17	consider them within the competitive set.	17	A. Well, final product features, attributes and
18	Q. We had talked about they had been concept tested	18	specifications would need to be locked down
19	and then you developed you continued to develop	19	including mechanical design and cosmetics, color,
20	the product. Let's just get back to the concept	20	materials would be defined. Optimization of the
21	testing because I've forgotten what you said.	21	design and the delivery system for the cartridge
22	After the concept testing was done, what happened	22	and then once all of that is locked down into a
23 24	next in the development of this product?	23	specification, we would then work with
25	A. I haven't been really close to the technical development of the product but from what I	24 25	manufacturing to produce tooling and provide forecasts and produce the product.
23	Page 22	23	Page 24
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	understand, there's just been further optimization	2	Q. Would manufacturing at Rayovac produce this
3	as far as and testing as far as which system and	3	product?
4	design provides the highest level of cleaning	4	A. Probably not.
5	efficacy.	5	Q. Would an outside party produce the product then?
6	Q. When was the consumer testing done?	6	A. Most likely.
7	A. When?  O. When.	7 8	Q. Has one been chosen, do you know?
8 9		9	A. We currently have a cleaning system vendor but we haven't selected final vendor made a final
10	A. I believe that it was done early spring of 2005.  No, actually it was prior to that. It was last	10	vendor decisions on this item.
11	year. I believe it was fall, fall of '04.	11	Q. So you I just want to be clear. You don't know
12	Q. Has there been more consumer testing since then?	12	who's going to make this product right now; right?
13	A. No.	13	A. Not yet.
14	Q. So the testing you referred to, that was more	14	Q. Who makes the cleaning the liquid cleaning
15	mechanical testing since then; is that right?	15	system?
16	A. Technical testing	16	A. I should probably know this.
1	A. I Cellineal testing		1 ' 3
117	——————————————————————————————————————	17	O. Is it Herota?
17 18	Q. Technical testing.	17 18	Q. Is it Herota? A. Herota.
ŝ	——————————————————————————————————————		_
18	<ul><li>Q. Technical testing.</li><li>A of the products and prototypes, not consumer testing.</li></ul>	18	A. Herota.
18 19	<ul><li>Q. Technical testing.</li><li>A of the products and prototypes, not consumer</li></ul>	18 19	A. Herota. Q. Heroka.
18 19 20	<ul><li>Q. Technical testing.</li><li>A of the products and prototypes, not consumer testing.</li><li>Q. Where does this product stand now in terms of its</li></ul>	18 19 20	A. Herota. Q. Heroka. A. Heroka.
18 19 20 21	<ul><li>Q. Technical testing.</li><li>A of the products and prototypes, not consumer testing.</li><li>Q. Where does this product stand now in terms of its development?</li></ul>	18 19 20 21	<ul><li>A. Herota.</li><li>Q. Heroka.</li><li>A. Heroka.</li><li>MR. LIANG: Off the record.</li></ul>
18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Technical testing.</li> <li>A of the products and prototypes, not consumer testing.</li> <li>Q. Where does this product stand now in terms of its development?</li> <li>A. I believe that it stands pretty close to what is</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22	A. Herota.  Q. Heroka.  A. Heroka.  MR. LIANG: Off the record.  (Off the record.)
18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Technical testing.</li> <li>A of the products and prototypes, not consumer testing.</li> <li>Q. Where does this product stand now in terms of its development?</li> <li>A. I believe that it stands pretty close to what is represented in this document as far as the air</li> </ul>	18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Herota.</li> <li>Q. Heroka.</li> <li>A. Heroka.</li> <li>MR. LIANG: Off the record. (Off the record.)</li> <li>Q. Is the mechanical design of this product complete</li> </ul>

Page 25

#### 11ea 10/14/2005 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 2 O. What -- what needs to be done for it to be A. This reflects the quantitative scores that 3 completed? 3 consumers provided relative to the concept, the air 4 MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and 4 cleaning system concept that's pictured here on the 5 5 page and it measures their scores with respect to answered. 6 MR. LIANG: This is about mechanical 6 purchase intent, uniqueness, believable, 7 7 design. We were talking about what brought -likability, price value. 8 MR. UELAND: I guess I'm having a hard 8 O. So this concept here has the air cleaning system 9 9 time distinguishing your question now from what paired up with a cleaner. What -- I'm sorry, a 10 10 you've been asking previous to this. It seems to shaver. Which shaver is paired up here? I really 11 11 me you've been asking the witness questions about can't make it out. 12 the development of the air cleaning system and this 12 A. It's a foil shaver. 13 is just another way of asking the same question. 13 Q. Is this one of the foil shavers that's currently on MR. LIANG: Well, actually I really meant 14 14 the market? 15 this to be more specific because we're more general 15 A. We're just launching this shaver right now, yes. 16 about, you know, multiple things that could be done 16 Q. This is not on the market yet? 17 and I'm just following up to, you know, what 17 A. It is right now, yes. 18 specifications about mechanical design has yet to 18 O. When did it launch? 19 be completed. So I think that's a fair question. 19 A. Roughly a month ago. 20 Q. If you could answer that, please. 20 Q. What is the model number for this foil shaver? A. It's not really my area of expertise and so I don't 21 A. It's an MS-2 series shaver. 21 22 really know the next steps that really need to take 22 Q. Does it have the liquid base cleaning system? 23 place in order to finalize the mechanical design. 23 A. Not currently. O. Do you know who would know that? 24 24 Q. On the very right corner it says project field A. That would be Yuri Avila. 25 ending date 8-23-04. Do you think that's an Page 26 Page 28 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 (Martin Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 2 accurate statement as to the date that the test was 3 3 identification.) done? Q. Marked as Martin 3, a document labeled Remington A. Yes. 4 4 5 Men's Electric Shaver Concept Test, 18-Cell. Have 5 Q. Could we turn to the third page which is labeled you seen this document before? 6 R13246, please. 6 7 A. I have. 7 A. Mm-hmm. Q. When was the first time you saw this document? 8 Q. This one says MicroScreen 5500 shaver. What shaver 8 9 A. In September of 2004. 9 is that? 10 Q. Who produced this document? 10 A. That's our current foil cleaning system shaver. Q. That was launched in the market sometime last year; 11 A. Synovate. 11 12 Q. Who is Synovate? 12 isn't that right? A. Synovate is a market research vendor that we use 13 A. Correct, August of 2004. 13 14 for consumer concept tests. 14 Q. And this one has a liquid base cleaning system O. Is this the concept testing of the air cleaner that 15 15 right now? A. Correct. 16 we spoke about at length today? 16 17 A. Yes, it is. 17 Q. What is being tested here? Is it this MicroScreen Q. And there's just been only one concept testing of shaver with the air cleaning system as a concept? 18 18 19 the air system that you're aware of; is that right? 19 A. No. This is a liquid cleaning system. 20 A. Correct. 20 Q. And this was presented as a product or was it 21 O. Looking at the second page which has a R13245 bates 21 prepared also as a paper concept like this? 22 number on the bottom, it has the title shaver with 22 A. Paper concept as you see it here. 23 air cleaning system. What is this test done on? 23 Q. I see. So this testing is using this liquid What is this page about? If you could just 24 cleaning system against the air cleaning system; is 24 25 describe for me what this page is about. 25 that accurate? Would that be a fair Page 27 Page 29

Page 6 or 53

Jase 1.03-cv-12428-WGY

1	Case 1.05-cv-12426-vvG1 Document 2	12 Filed 10/14/2005 Page 10 of 33
	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	2006 shaving, have you seen this document before?	with technical, this concept could be achieved
3	A. I have.	3 after developing a technology.
4	Q. When for the first time?	4 Q. So at the time this report was made,
5	A. September 29th, 2004.	5 September 29th, 2004, there was still some
6	Q. Did you produce this document?	6 development of technology that needed to be done;
7	A. I did not.	7 is that right?
8	Q. Were you at a meeting in which this document was	8 A. There were a couple of technologies that were being
9	given out?	9 considered at the time and some needed further
10	A. I was.	development than others.
11	Q. And that was September 29th, '04?	11 Q. What were those technologies that were being
12	A. Correct.	decided at the time?
13	Q. Did you produce this document?	13 A. One design called for an actual compressor that
14	- "	would compress air and then release it into the
15	Q. Who did?	shaver. The other technology, which was much
16		simpler design, is the one that we talked about
17	products group and I believe it was Deb Pierce and	earlier which is the CO2 cartridge.
18	Michael Vaszily.	18 Q. Would it be fair to say that the CO2 cartridge won
19	Q. I'm sorry, Deb?	19 out at this at this time?
20	A. Deb. Deb Pierce.	20 MR. UELAND: At what time?
21	Q. Okay.	21 MR. LIANG: At this time.
22	A. Michael Vaszily, V A S Z I L Y.	22 MR. UELAND: Presently?
23	Q. Are both of these based in Madison?	23 MR. LIANG: Presently, yes, uh-huh.
24	A. Yes.	24 A. Today?
25	Q. Did you review this document yesterday	25 Q. Yes.
	Page 34	Page 36
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2		
	A. Yes.	2 A. This is the design that we're further developing,
3	A. Yes. Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which	2 A. This is the design that we're further developing, 3 yes, CO2.
3		-
Ħ	Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which	3 yes, CO2.
4	Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time	3 yes, CO2. 4 Q. Cartridge?
4 5	Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.	<ul><li>3 yes, CO2.</li><li>4 Q. Cartridge?</li><li>5 A. Cartridge.</li></ul>
4 5	<ul><li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li><li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>3 yes, CO2.</li> <li>4 Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>5 A. Cartridge.</li> <li>6 Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 yes, CO2.</li> <li>4 Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>5 A. Cartridge.</li> <li>6 Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>7 A. Correct.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again consistent with</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again consistent with —</li> <li>with what we talked about before, the development</li> <li>is not complete yet.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again consistent with —</li> <li>with what we talked about before, the development</li> <li>is not complete yet.</li> <li>MR. LIANG:</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again consistent with —</li> <li>with what we talked about before, the development</li> <li>is not complete yet.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed? <ul> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again consistent with —</li> <li>with what we talked about before, the development</li> <li>is not complete yet.</li> <li>MR. LIANG:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also <ul> <li>gives a number of three for the shaver with air</li> <li>cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>yes, CO2.</li> <li>Q. Cartridge?</li> <li>A. Cartridge.</li> <li>Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed? <ul> <li>MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered. Go ahead. You can answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again consistent with —</li> <li>with what we talked about before, the development</li> <li>is not complete yet.</li> <li>MR. LIANG:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also <ul> <li>gives a number of three for the shaver with air</li> <li>cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> <li>Q. Has there been a retail price set at this time</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with — with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as reviewed, this was this would cost at least 2.5
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> <li>Q. Has there been a retail price set at this time</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with — with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as reviewed, this was — this would cost at least 2.5 times what would be appropriate. What does that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> <li>Q. Has there been a retail price set at this time</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q for this product? The next line it says</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with — with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as reviewed, this was this would cost at least 2.5 times what would be appropriate. What does that all mean?  A. That refers to the compressor system which represented potential cost increase versus the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> <li>Q. Has there been a retail price set at this time A. No.</li> <li>Q for this product? The next line it says technical and gives a number three and this</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with — with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as reviewed, this was — this would cost at least 2.5 times what would be appropriate. What does that all mean?  A. That refers to the compressor system which represented potential cost increase versus the current liquid cleaning system. It does not refer
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> <li>Q. Has there been a retail price set at this time A. No.</li> <li>Q for this product? The next line it says technical and gives a number three and this technical is under the broad heading feasibility?</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as reviewed, this was this would cost at least 2.5 times what would be appropriate. What does that all mean?  A. That refers to the compressor system which represented potential cost increase versus the current liquid cleaning system. It does not refer to the cartridge system.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q in preparation? Could you turn to page 28 which is also labeled as R2544. Please take your time and review this page.</li> <li>A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)</li> <li>Q. Tell me when you're ready.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. There's a line about two-thirds down that says shaver with air cleaning system. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. It says next to that retail price \$70. Is that the expected retail price? What is this line about?</li> <li>A. At the time it was the anticipated anticipated or tested price that our global products innovation group was had determined but there was not consensus on that price at all.</li> <li>Q. Has there been a retail price set at this time A. No.</li> <li>Q for this product? The next line it says technical and gives a number three and this technical is under the broad heading feasibility?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> </ul>	yes, CO2.  Q. Cartridge?  A. Cartridge.  Q. And the compressor is no longer being developed?  A. Correct.  Q. Has the CO2 cartridge development completed?  MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead. You can answer.  THE WITNESS: Again consistent with — with what we talked about before, the development is not complete yet.  MR. LIANG:  Q. The next line is feasibility, cost wise, and also gives a number of three for the shaver with air cleaning system. And on the bottom it says as reviewed, this was — this would cost at least 2.5 times what would be appropriate. What does that all mean?  A. That refers to the compressor system which represented potential cost increase versus the current liquid cleaning system. It does not refer

#### 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTÖRNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 2 was also going to be higher than the liquid system? not to the cartridge system. 3 3 A. Preliminary estimates on the cartridge system Q. It says that the prototype requires almost 4 represent potential cost improvement over where we 4 commercial quality components to remain safe at 5 5 currently sit. So we're hoping to actually take point 150 PSI pressure and is much larger than the 6 cost out of the cleaning system. 6 illustration. Is that also to the compressor 7 7 O. The next line on the shaver air cleaning system is system? 8 8 feasibility, consumer, also gives a mark of three. A. Yes. 9 9 It says in the comments below, it says many Q. So then it says one suggestion from the team 10 10 alterations or a new configuration required to make includes using a CO2 cartridge versus a pump. Is 11 this viable. What is that about? 11 the pump the compressor? A. Again refers to the compressor system and speaks to 12 12 A. Yes. 13 further development and optimization required to 13 Q. And here it says successful execution of the 14 launch that particular design. It does not speak 14 prototype has only been demonstrated using a rotary 15 to the cartridge system. 15 shaver. Is a prototype here a compressor system O. Okav. The next line is score total for the shaver 16 that is here in this line? 16 17 air cleaning system and it says 22 percent? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Right. 18 O. In addition to this air base cleaning system and O. What does that mean? 19 19 the liquid base system that's out on the A. I don't recall. 20 20 marketplace now, is Rayovac considering other 21 Q. Okay. And I think the next line speaks for itself, 21 cleaning systems at this time? 22 is advanced R & D required, it says yes. Is that 22 A. Not that I'm aware of. 23 how you recall it to be at that time, September 23 Q. Have you considered other cleaning systems in the 24 2004, there was still R & D required for this 24 time that you've been in charge of marketing in 25 product? 25 this group? Page 38 Page 40 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 2 A. Yes. A. No. 3 3 Q. The very next box under the shaver with air Q. Has there been any consideration about modifying 4 4 cleaning system, there's comment and issues. It the current cleaning system that's on the market, 5 5 says this concept is being actively developed by the liquid cleaning system? 6 Remington now. Proof of concept is complete. Much 6 A. We have considered some modifications to that more advanced development is required to settle 7 7 system relative to cost improvement and performance 8 performance configuration, noise and safety issues. 8 improvements. 9 9 Have those advanced developments been completed Q. What are those improvements? A. There are certain components that are being looked 10 that's spoken here in this line? 10 11 A. They're not complete but there's been significant 11 at for performance and quality improvements. There 12 12 advancement made in those areas. is the way that the -- the fan is used to clean --13 Q. Has the performance development been completed at 13 or I'm sorry, to dry the foils after -- after 14 this time? 14 cleaning. We've considered removing that fan as a A. I can't speak to that. That would be -- again that 15 cost improvement initiative. The bracketing system 15 would be Yuri. 16 on the top of the cleaner is -- we feel that we can 16 17 Q. What about configuration, is that completed today? 17 make improvements to the bracketing system and A. I would defer to Yuri on that. 18 integrate the contact points for charging elsewhere 18 19 O. Okay. Noise, has that been completed? 19 on the shaver to eliminate the bracket both from a 20 A. The noise issue was only relative to the compressor 20 performance as well as from a cost improvement 21 system and is not an issue at all with the 21 perspective. 22 cartridge system. 22 Q. Have you ever considered adding a cover piece to O. What about safety issues, has that been completed 23 the base? 23 24 as for development at this time? 24 A. Yes, we talked about adding a cover piece to the A. Again, that was only related to the compressor and 25 25 base. Page 39 Page 41

Document

Jase 1.03-cv-12428-VVGY

-lied 10/14/2005

Page 11 of 33

#### Case 1:03-cv-12428-WGY Document Filed 10/14/2005 Page 12 of 33 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTÖRNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 2 Q. Is the removal of the fan being implemented? answer if you understand the question. 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you clarify the A. We haven't made the decision yet to remove the fan 3 4 "attached to the housing". 4 or not. 5 MR. LIANG: 5 Q. Has there been -- is the design for that completed, that is removal of the fan of the current system? 6 Q. The cleaning system has a housing outside; is that 6 7 7 right? A. I believe that it is. 8 Q. Is it ready for the marketplace today, that is a 8 A. Okay. Correct. 9 cleaning system that's modified with the fan Q. Where is the filter currently? 10 removed? 10 A. Not -- not a attached to that external housing, A. It would be ready for the marketplace very shortly, 11 it's attached to the top part that fits down into 11 the base. 12 12 yes. 13 Q. Is eliminating the bracket, is that design 13 Q. Have you considered changing the location of the 14 filter to any other location? 14 completed? 15 A. I believe that has been considered. 15 A. Yes. O. And -- and what location would that be? Q. And could that be ready for the marketplace very 16 16 17 shortly? 17 A. I'm not certain. A. Yes. 18 Q. Have you considered air drying instead of using a 18 19 heater as a drying device for your current cleaning 19 O. Adding a cover piece to the base, is that design 20 completed? 20 system? A. Yes. A. I'm not aware that it is. I don't know. 21 21 Q. Are you think of combining these modifications to 22 Q. Has that been implemented? 22 your current system, that is remove the fan and 23 A. It has not been implemented but there are plans in 23 eliminate the bracket? place to most likely launch that next year, again 24 24 25 as a cost improvement initiative. A. We're considering it. Page 42 Page 44 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 1 2 Q. Is that -- is that product, that is one that is a 2 Q. Next year being this fall or you mean '06? 3 current system with those two modifications, is 3 A. 2006. 4 that ready for the marketplace? 4 Q. Is that design ready, the air drying system? 5 5 A. It's not ready for the marketplace today but could Q. When you make the modifications, when you --6 be shortly and would be ready for market for the 6 7 Strike that. When you consider modifications to a 7 summer resets for national retailers next year. 8 The retailers really set their planograms once, current liquid cleaning system, are you at all 8 9 9 maybe twice a year and so now that the planogram is trying to design around Braun's patents? 10 set, it doesn't make any sense to try to get a new MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct not to 10 product in the market. It would really be next 11 answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege. 11 12 12 Don't answer. 13 O. Retailers, we're talking about Wal-Mart, people 13 MR. LIANG: like that? 14 Q. When you talk about adding a cover piece to the 14 15 base, is that a removable cover piece that's being 15 A. Correct. O. And planograms, are these things that the retailers 16 considered right now? 16 use to show what products they have in which shelf, 17 17 A. It would be removable. It could be permanently something like that? 18 affixed as well. 18 19 A. Yes. Q. So right now what is -- as the design stands, what 19 20 Q. Is that accurate? 20 is the status of the design with regard to 21 A. Yes. removability of the plastic cover -- of the cover? 21 22 O. Have you considered modifying the filter such that 22 A. It remains undefined. 23 it is removable and is attached to the housing of 23 Q. Is the cover going to be plastic or is it going to 24 the system, cleaning system? 24 be made of something else? MR. UELAND: Objection to form. You can 25 A. I don't know. 25 Page 43 Page 45

20101274121111	Caco 1:02 cy 12429 WCV - Document 2	12	Filed 10/14/2005 Page 12 of 22
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	Q. Have you concept tested any of these modifications	2	A. I haven't seen this patent.
3	to the current cleaning system?	3	Q. Are you aware that this is the patent in suit?
4	A. No, we really wouldn't because it would be	4	A. I am now.
5	essentially invisible mechanical changes that would	5	Q. I just want to clarify. You were not involved at
6	be irrelevant to the consumer.	6	the when Remington looked into whether or not
7	Q. Can you describe the process for developing the	7	its shaving liquid shaving products infringed
8	current cleaning system that's on the market today?	8	this patent because this was prior to your
9	A. I wasn't involved in the development of either	9	involvement; is that is that accurate?
10	cleaning system. By the time I got onto the	10	A. I don't know when they first looked into the the
11	Remington business, we were entering the	11	Braun patent or not. I don't know when that
12	commercialization phase of the foil cleaning	12	occurred.
13	system. The R-9500 rotary system had already been	13	Q. During the time that you've been involved in the
14	launched the prior fall.	14	Remington shaving products, do you know if
15	Q. And what about were you involved in the design for	15	whether or not if consulted on for legal opinion
16	the women's cleaning system?	16	on the whether or not your liquid cleaning
17	A. No.	17	system is liable in infringing these patents?
18	Q. The women's shavers' cleaning system?	18	MR. UELAND: Object to the form.
19	A. No. I had when I first started on the Remington	19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you What
20	business, I had responsibility for the men's	20	exactly are you asking? Has Remington requested?
21	shaving product line and just recently took	21	MR. LIANG:
22	responsibility for the women's shaving and so	22	Q. I just want to know since you started in the spring
23	again, product development and really even	23	of '04 has there been any analysis on whether or
24	commercialization was essentially complete on that	24	not your products infringed this patent?
25	product before I took over.	25	A. I have not been involved in that analysis but from
	Page 46		Page 48
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EVES ONLY	1	CONTENDENTIAL ATTORNEYS EVES ONLY
1 2	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  O So when you first learned about Braun's development	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development	2	my understanding we have looked at our design and
2 3	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of	2 3	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.
2 3 4	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a	2 3 4	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:
2 3 4 5	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?	2 3 4 5	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.	2 3 4 5 6 7	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.  Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.  Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right? <ul> <li>MR. UELAND: Object to the form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before? <ul> <li>MR. LIANG:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right? <ul> <li>MR. UELAND: Object to the form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before? <ul> <li>MR. LIANG:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right? <ul> <li>MR. UELAND: Object to the form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before? <ul> <li>MR. LIANG:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which I'm handing you. Take your time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.  Q. If you turn to page three which is also 2520.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which I'm handing you. Take your time.</li> <li>A. Should I take a look at it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.  Q. If you turn to page three which is also 2520.  A. Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which I'm handing you. Take your time.</li> <li>A. Should I take a look at it?</li> <li>Q. Sure. Absolutely.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.  Q. If you turn to page three which is also 2520.  A. Yep.  Q. It talks about men's 2006 shavers and under scope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which I'm handing you. Take your time.</li> <li>A. Should I take a look at it?</li> <li>Q. Sure. Absolutely.</li> <li>A. (Reviewed document.) Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.  Q. If you turn to page three which is also 2520.  A. Yep.  Q. It talks about men's 2006 shavers and under scope the first line says evolutionary, not revolutionary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which I'm handing you. Take your time.</li> <li>A. Should I take a look at it?</li> <li>Q. Sure. Absolutely.</li> <li>A. (Reviewed document.) Okay.</li> <li>Q. This is the 5,711,328 U.S. Patent which is assigned</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.  Q. If you turn to page three which is also 2520.  A. Yep.  Q. It talks about men's 2006 shavers and under scope the first line says evolutionary, not revolutionary ideas. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So when you first learned about Braun's development of a cleaning system, you were actually not part of Remington shaving at all because that was a separate company; is that right?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate.</li> <li>Was I aware of a Braun cleaning system before?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. When did you first become aware of Braun's cleaning system?</li> <li>A. Prior to even working on prior to Rayovac acquiring Remington.</li> <li>Q. Is and Rayovac before acquiring Remington was not in the cleaning dry shaver cleaning system business at all; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 10 which I'm handing you. Take your time.</li> <li>A. Should I take a look at it?</li> <li>Q. Sure. Absolutely.</li> <li>A. (Reviewed document.) Okay.</li> <li>Q. This is the 5,711,328 U.S. Patent which is assigned to Braun and it's one of the patents in suit. When</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	my understanding we have looked at our design and compared it to this patent.  MR. LIANG:  Q. This has been previously marked as Exhibit 11.  It's the 5,649,566 patent. Have you seen this patent before?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. This actually is also not a a patent in suit.  When you discuss just right now about you understand that there has been analysis with respect to the Braun patent, you weren't distinguishing between one patent versus another, were you?  A. I was not, right.  Q. If we could get back to Exhibit 43 that's in front of you.  A. Okay.  Q. If you turn to page three which is also 2520.  A. Yep.  Q. It talks about men's 2006 shavers and under scope the first line says evolutionary, not revolutionary ideas. Do you see that?  A. I do.

#### rage 14 or CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 1 2 2 A. It refers to the concepts that are being -- were O. Does this mean that the concept testing is done 3 also in North America -- only in North America, not 3 being considered at the time for development. 4 O. Does that include the air cleaning system? globally? 4 5 A. No, the testing was done globally. It was done in 5 A. I believe so. Europe as well as North America. Q. If you could turn to page six which is also 2523. 6 6 This says concepts tested, 2006 shavers and under 7 Q. Is it done beyond Europe and North America? 7 8 A. No. 8 foil ideas. 9 9 A. Right. O. It says technology 2007. What does that mean? A. That was referred to the compressor technology. Q. It says air cleaning but the rotary ideas doesn't 10 10 Q. So the expectation at that time was the compressor 11 say air cleaning. By that time was the concept 11 testing for the air cleaner only done in the foil 12 wouldn't be ready until '07; is that right? 12 13 A. The compressor would -- we were targeting 2007 for 13 system? the compressor but had also -- were considering the A. The concept testing had been conducted on the foil 14 14 cartridge system for earlier launch than that but system. The prototype was actually designed around 15 15 16 the compressor system would probably take until 16 the rotary system. 17 O. Currently is the -- is the air cleaning system 2007 to optimize. 17 O. You said that the cartridge system you expect to be design more advanced for the foil system, more 18 18 19 ready sometime next year; is that right? 19 advanced for the rotary system, neither? Can you A. Right. Currently the retail planograms are set and 20 comment on that? 20 21 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 21 locked down until really June/July of next year. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that I 22 So there's -- there's really no opportunity for us 22 23 completely understood the question. 23 to launch prior to that. Q. On page 31, this is 2547. MR. LIANG: 24 24 25 A. Okay. 25 O. It's a design -- right now you're designing an air Page 50 Page 52 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 1 2 cleaning system for both a rotary shaver and a foil 2 Q. And this is under -- it says air cleaning. What's 3 EUR slash ROW? 3 shaver; is that right? A. Europe rest of the world. 4 A. Correct. 4 5 Q. But it was only done in Europe, it wasn't done 5 Q. Is the design more than advanced for one of the two 6 anywhere else in the world other than North 6 shavers? 7 A. What do you mean by "advanced". America? 7 8 A. What are you referring to? O. More complete, that is more ready? 8 9 O. The concept testing for air cleaning. 9 A. No. MR. UELAND: Are you asking if they're 10 A. That's correct. 10 further along in the process? 11 Q. It says but does not solve a current problem, under 11 MR. LIANG: That's right, yeah. 12 the country specific opinions EUR/ROW, rest of the 12 13 A. No. It's my understanding that we're at the same 13 world. Do you know what that's about? A. I don't recall. stage with both cleaning systems. 14 14 Q. And the U.S. it says does a good job of solving a 15 Q. You're actively -- Sorry, I didn't mean to 15 interrupt. But you're actively pursuing both 16 current problem. Do you know what current problem 16 is mentioned there? systems; is that right? 17 17 A. I believe that that refers to the convenience that 18 A. Correct. 18 a self-cleaning, self-charging system provides. Q. If you could turn to page 11, which is 2528. So 19 19 under air cleaning it says appeal, feasibility, 20 Q. Here it says under U.S. but appearance suggests 20 21 shave would not be as close. What -- what 21 there's no tick for global consumer but there's a tick for NA, which I guess is North American 22 appearance is -- is that talking about? 22 23 consumers. Is that NA for North American 23 A. I believe that that refers to consumer feedback 24 consumers? 24 relative to the illustration that was shown in the 25 consumer concept tests. 25 A. That's correct. Page 51 Page 53

1 2 Q. Could we turn to page 38 which is also 2554.

3 A. Okay.

Q. This is entitled technological feasibility, rotary.

5 A. Yes.

15

18

1

Q. This line for Braun Syncro system shaver, were you 6 7 testing the Braun shaver here? What is this page 8 about?

9 A. Just one second, let me take a look at the page.

O. Sure. Absolutely. 10

11 A. (Reviewed document.) I don't know what that refers 12

Q. Okay. So this whole page you're really not clear 13 14 what's going on here; right?

MR. UELAND: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, could you restate the 16 17 question.

MR. LIANG:

19 O. What is this page about?

A. My recollection is that this page was intended to 20 21 characterize the rotary could be sent with respect

22 to feasibility both technical and cost and then the

23 consumer rating which is why I don't understand why 24 the Syncro system foil was even listed on this page

25 unless it was just as a benchmark. CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

2 O. Have you seen this document before?

3 A. I have.

O. When for the first time?

5 A. This would have been in fall of 2004.

Q. Who produced this document?

7 A. Our market research group. I believe specifically 8 Michelle Monkoski.

9 Q. If you'd go to the second page of this document 10 which is R12539. It says MicroScreen 5500. Let me back it up. Strike that. If you could review this 11

12 document first, all three pages.

13 A. Okay. (Reviewed document.)

MR. UELAND: Let's go off the record

while he's reviewing the document.

(Off the record.)

MR. UELAND: We can go back on.

18 MR. LIANG:

Q. Are you ready? 19

20 A. Yeah.

14

15

16

17

1

2

15

21 O. What is this document about? This is Exhibit 42.

22 A. This document summarizes the consumer concept

23 research that was conducted with Synovate.

24 Q. And what was the concept tested?

25 A. On page two it refers to the concept, the

Page 54

Page 56

# CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

2 Q. Okay. If you could turn to, let's see, page 45 3 which is 2561.

4 A. Okav.

5 O. Is this a forecast of the upcoming products that you're going to launch? 6

A. This was a -- it's entitled men's rotary 7

hypothetical. It's a hypothetical pipeline of new 8

9 products over -- from 2004 to 2007.

Q. Where is the CO2 cleaning system on this chart? 10

11 A. I don't believe that it's listed on this

hypothetical chart. 12

13 O. Okay. The next page 2562, which is also page 46. 14

Is this the -- it's the same as the previous page

15 except for the foil?

A. It's difficult for me to read but I do believe it 16 says men's foil, hypothetical, and would also be 17

similar in nature to the -- to the rotary. 18

19 Q. Is the air cleaning system on this page?

20 A. It would be considered as a potential for this new shaving technology potentially but it's not 21

22 specifically mentioned.

23 O. I'm handing you what was previously marked as

24 Exhibit 42.

25 A. Okay. CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

MicroScreen 5500 concept, and on page three it

3 refers to the Braun Syncro concept.

O. Was there -- was this again a testing in which you 4

5 have one consumer -- one set of consumers look at

6 the MicroScreen 5500 and then once they look at the 7 Braun Syncro?

8 A. Correct, it was a pneumatic test.

9 Q. What was the reason for testing the 5500 against 10 the Braun Syncro?

11 A. We were --

MR. UELAND: I'm going to object to the 12 13 mischaracterization of the documents. I think he 14 just said it was a pneumatic test.

MR. LIANG:

Q. Let's go back to this. Were you trying to compare 16 17 the 5500 to the Braun Syncro?

A. No, that was not the objective of the test. 18

19 O. All right. What is the objective of this test?

20 A. The objective of the test was to measure the new 21 product concepts and compare, contrast them to

22 scores of benchmark items such as our foil cleaning

23 system, Braun Syncro. There were other products

that were established as benchmarks as well. 24

25 Q. The MicroScreen 5500, has that come on the market

Page 57

# CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 now? 2 A. I believe that that was related to the strong 3 3 A. Yes. consumer concept scores that shavers with a 4 Q. Just a month ago; is that right? 4 cleaning system had scored. 5 Q. The next page which is R12413. Do you see that? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Oh. When was this? This was last August. 6 A. Mm-hmm. A. This is our foil cleaning system which launched 7 Q. Close to the bottom it says cleaning system, the 7 8 8 last year. cleaning concept is a winning idea based on the 9 following reasons and it goes on to list some Q. This has a self-cleaning system; right? 9 10 A. Correct. reasons. 10 A. Mm-hmm. O. Here on the second page, 539, it says top likes. 11 11 12 Is it your recollection that self-cleaning scored 12 O. Do you see that? 13 very highly in this test? 13 A. I do. A. I believe that it's reflected here on the scores 14 Q. So the next one, the first little bullet point says 14 15 that it was a 48 percent of a top like. 15 in-market results, the Syncro cleaning system O. And turning now to Braun Syncro page, which is 16 continued to grow. Do you see that? 16 12540, again isn't that true that the self-cleaning 17 17 A. I do. scored 64 percent as a top likes? 18 Q. Why was that an important point? 18 19 A. Again I believe that the management at the time was 19 A. It actually looks like for Syncro that the top 20 likes was even -- self-cleaning was even higher on looking at the concept scores for the products as 20 21 the Syncro which I believe was -- was, yes, even 21 well as the end market results and it was -- the 22 significantly higher than the second like which was 22 cleaning systems were looked at as delivering the 23 23 rechargeability. core category benefits in consumer's minds of 24 Q. You said that report was drafted sometime fall of 24 convenient, closeness and comfort. That in order 25 25 to enter a -- the higher end price points and a Page 58 Page 60 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 2 A. After the September 2004 findings, yes. growing segment, that that's where we were 3 O. Do you know when the testing was done, the consumer characterizing this as a growing segment. 4 testing? Q. If you could turn to R12417, just a few pages into 5 A. This was fielded in August 2004. the document. O. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 39 which says 6 A. R twelve? 7 marketing plan 2003. Have you seen this document 7 O. Four one seven. before? 8 A. Four one seven. 9 Q. Under bullet -- under one and just above two A. I have. Q. When for the first time? 10 there's a final bullet point in one. It says 10 A. Spring of 2004 when I had started working on the 11 cleaning/charging base with improved performance 11 versus Braun shorter running time and spill-proof 12 12 men's shaving business. Q. This marketing plan 2003, was this a document 13 base. Do you see that? 13 produced by Remington when it -- before it joined 14 A. Mm-hmm, I do. 14 15 Rayovac? 15 Q. Is that a target goal that's written down there? A. That was a target goal at the time I believe, yes. A. Yes. 16 16 17 Q. Could I ask you a question? 17 Q. Had that been achieved at the time when you came on A. Sure. 18 board which was spring 2004? 18 Q. Now the first page of this document, which is A. I don't believe so. 19 19 20 12412, under B, products, it says we must launch a 20 Q. Has it been achieved today? 21 new high-end foil and rotary shaver with cleaning 21 A. Not that I'm aware of. system. Do you see that? 22 O. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 41. Have you seen 22 23 this document before? 23 A. I do see that. Q. Do you know why that was such a big -- why that 24 A. I have. 24 25 line was written? 25 Q. Again, when for the first time? Page 59 Page 61

# CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 A. Spring of 2004 when I came into the business. 2 A. I did. 3 Q. Did you review this document in preparation for 3 O. Now turning to Exhibit 35, which is also called men's shaving marketing plan, have you seen this 4 your deposition? 5 document before? 5 A. I did. Q. And the exhibit we just looked at before, which is A. I have. 6 6 O. Did you produce that document? Exhibit 39, did you review that yesterday in 7 8 8 preparation for this deposition? A. I did. 9 O. And did you review it yesterday in preparation for 9 O. The first page of this document, which is R12430. 10 this deposition? 10 So this -- the bullet point second to the end says A. I did. 11 11 12 Braun has been able to continue their share growth 12 Q. What is the difference between these two documents? A. Exhibit 25 is intended to be a much more robust and 13 driven by the continued success of their high-end 13 Syncro line. Do you see that? 14 detailed version of a marketing plan for fiscal 14 15 2005. Exhibit 35 is intended to be more of a A. I do. 15 management summary for senior management and 16 16 Q. Why was Braun's product so successful? MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 17 presentation of these plans. 17 MR. LIANG: O. If you could turn -- Let's go with 35. If you 18 18 19 Q. That is, why is, you know, is Braun Syncro products 19 could turn to page 11, which also is marked 2826. 20 A. Okay. Okay. 20 so successful? MR. UELAND: Object to the form. Do you 21 **O.** Why is Braun considered the technology leader? 21 A. They're not necessarily considered the technology 22 22 want him to guess? I mean, I guess lack of 23 leader. That's the positioning we characterized 23 foundation, scope. for the Braun brand. 24 24 MR. LIANG: Well, he has done marketing studies on more than just his cleaners so perhaps 25 Q. Why is that? 25 Page 62 Page 64 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 2 A. Because that's how we interpret the way that 2 he knows something about that. That's really what 3 3 they're positioning their products and brand. I'm asking. 4 O. Norelco says the market leader, then it says 4 MR. UELAND: I don't think you laid the 5 foundation to ask him those questions yet. 5 declining share despite strong advertising support 6 due to failed product concepts. Why is Norelco MR. LIANG: 6 7 Q. Well, if you can answer. declining? 7 A. I believe that Braun at this time was 8 A. There are a number of factors at play. The 8 9 introduction and growth of Remington rotaries and 9 experienced -- experiencing success with their our success in that segment dating back to probably Syncro line driven by their advertising investment, 10 10 the late '90s helped to help us to gain share their distribution and the scores -- the strong 11 11 12 probably at their expense. They had launched a 12 concept of a cleaning system with the Syncro couple of product concepts that involved a lotion 13 13 shaver. Q. Handing you what's been previously marked as 14 dispensing shaver which just hadn't caught on in 14 North America. They were successful in Europe but Exhibit 25. I'm also going to hand you what's been 15 15 weren't successful here and so their volume had 16 previously marked as Exhibit 35. 16 17 First let's look at 25, Exhibit 25. Have suffered and was just continuing to decline at the 17 18 you seen this document before? 18 19 Q. If you could turn to 2838, please. A. I have. 19 Q. Who produced this document? 20 A. Okay. 20 Q. So this is a page entitled 2005 new products. The 21 21 A. I did. 22 R-9400 cleaning system here, it says April '05? 22 Q. You did. When was this produced? A. In September of 2004. 23 23 Q. Was that launched in April '05? 24 Q. When was the -- Did you review this document in 24 25 25 preparation for this deposition yesterday? A. No. Page 63 Page 65

11eu 10/14/2005

Page 17 or

Jase 1.05-cv-12420-WG1

# 2 2 Q. Has it been launched at all? think that there's risk that they may take some of 3 3 our volume within that segment on the rotary A. No. 4 cleaning system. Q. The MS-2 line says August '05. That was launched 5 Q. Has the cleaning system segment in the marketplace 5 about a month ago; is that right? 6 grown over the last couple of years? 6 A. Correct. A. Yes. 7 7 O. What about the R-900 line? It says August '05 here. Has that been launched to date? 8 Q. By how much? 8 A. The R-9000. 9 A. Last year it grew on a dollar basis by over 30 9 O. 9000, I'm sorry. 10 percent. 10 A. That is launching in August of '05. Q. What about since 2001, has it grown incrementally? 11 11 A. Yes. Q. Does that have a cleaning system? 12 12 A. That does not. Q. By how much? 13 13 A. I don't know. 14 O. And then the MS-5000 line says August '05? Q. Could we now turn to 25, please, Exhibit 25. This 15 A. Mm-hmm. 15 is the big document. 16 Q. Was that launched in August '05? 16 17 MR. UELAND: As opposed to all the little A. It will. 17 documents you've been showing him today. O. Does that have a cleaning system? 18 A. We took the -- essentially the shaver from our foil 19 MR. LIANG: You produced these. 19 cleaning system line that had innovative product 20 MR. UELAND: Sorry? 20 21 features that competition doesn't have like a pop 21 MR. LIANG: You produced these. up mini foil beard lifting fins and a reserve 22 O. If you could turn to 13790, please. 22 23 MR. UELAND: A lot of jumping around. 23 battery tank, and we're actually taking the shaver and offering it without the cleaning system at 24 24 MR. LIANG: 25 O. Is this the same as the one that we looked at 25 those price points. Page 66 Page 68 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 Q. If you could turn to 2856, which is also page 41 of 2 before or is this a different chart? It says men's 2 this document, please. 3 foil 9-2-2004. 3 A. What are you referring to? 4 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. Well, let's just look at this -- this page. 5 Q. Under risks on the second to the bottom bullet 6 A. Okay. point it says Norelco foil and/or cleaning base 6 Q. What is this page about? 7 entry. Do you see that? 7 A. I do. A. This page is our men's foil product development 8 8 9 pipeline as it stood in two -- September 2004. 9 O. Has Norelco entered with a foil dry shaver to the 10 Q. We looked at a similar pipeline page just a while 10 marketplace yet? ago. A. With a women's foil shaver, yes, but not within the 11 11 12 A. Mm-hmm. men's shaving category. 12 Q. And we had discussed before they don't have a Q. Let's not go to that but let's just say -- Let me 13 13 14 ask you, where is the air cleaning system in this 14 cleaning system at all? 15 A. They're launching a cleaning system essentially 15 A. It's not specifically mentioned, however, within 16 right now. 16 the new evolutionary concepts in 2006, that was one O. Have you done any marketing analysis on their 17 17 launch of the new cleaning system and how it would 18 that was being considered as a number of different 18 19 concepts in that consideration set. impact on your systems? 19 20 Q. Turning to the next page which is 13790. What is 20 A. Yes. O. Do you expect that they will take away sales from 21 this page about? 21 22 A. This is the men's rotary product development your current products with the cleaning system? 22 A. We expect that they will take care of the cleaning pipeline as it stood in September 2004. 23 23 24 Q. And is the air cleaning system also in the new system segment. We expect the clean system 24 25 evolutionary concept in '06? 25 segments to grow incrementally but ultimately we do Page 67 Page 69

		12	Filed 10/14/2005 Fage 19 01 55
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	A. It was one that was being considered within that	2	A. I guess I'll defer to my counsel's advice.
3	bucket, yes.	3	Q. If you could go to the next page, R13814. It says
4	Q. If you could turn to R13796.	4	open issues and the very bottom bullet point it
5	A. Okay.	5	says Braun cleaning system patent contingencies.
B	•		
6	Q. This is the R-9400. This seems to have an expected	6	Do you see that?
7	launch date of April '05; correct?	7	A. I do.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. So what is that about?
. 9	Q. Did that launch?	9	A. Again
10	A. No.	10	MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Again
11	MR. UELAND: Objection, asked and	11	it's the same objection, same instruction, just to
12	answered.	12	the extent if it's informed advice from counsel or
13	MR. LIANG:	13	conversation with counsel, then I instruct you not
14	Q. Did that have a cleaning system?	14	to answer. If it's wholly apart from conversations
15	A. Yes.	15	with attorneys and it's a business planning, then
16	Q. Now we go to R13813.	16	you can answer the question. I mean, if you want,
17	A. I'm sorry, which number?	17	we can confer on an issue of privilege just alone.
18	Q. I'm sorry. R13813.	18	MR. LIANG: Sure. Sure.
19	A. Okay.	19	MR. UELAND: Okay. Can we go off the
20	Q. Under risks, the second bullet point says Braun	20	record?
21	cleaning base patents. Do you see that?	21	(Off the record.)
22	A. I do.	22	MR. UELAND: Okay. Back on.
23	Q. It says 8.0, that's 8.0 \$8 million; is that	23	MR. LIANG: Could you read the question
		24	<del>_</del>
24	right?	25	back.
25	A. That represents \$8 million of sales volume, yes.	23	(The requested portion was read back.)
l	Page 70	Ĺ	Page 72
1	CONTENED AT A TOD NEW COLUMN X		
		1 1	
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY		CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under	2	A. I do see that.
2 3	Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?	2 3	<ul><li>A. I do see that.</li><li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li><li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I do see that.</li><li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li><li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li><li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li><li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Object to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Would you like to know</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?</li> <li>MR. UELAND: Object to form.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Would you like to know</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent that any such analysis or any communications</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies. Yes, there was it was a business decision and a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent that any such analysis or any communications between you and an attorney resulted in an analysis</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies. Yes, there was it was a business decision and a business discussion related to the potential risk</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent that any such analysis or any communications between you and an attorney resulted in an analysis like the one that you're being asked about, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies. Yes, there was it was a business decision and a business discussion related to the potential risk of being enjoined at holiday, and so this bullet</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent that any such analysis or any communications between you and an attorney resulted in an analysis like the one that you're being asked about, I instruct you not to answer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies. Yes, there was it was a business decision and a business discussion related to the potential risk of being enjoined at holiday, and so this bullet refers to the fact that we needed to develop</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent that any such analysis or any communications between you and an attorney resulted in an analysis like the one that you're being asked about, I instruct you not to answer.  MR. LIANG: That's fair as long as that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies. Yes, there was it was a business decision and a business discussion related to the potential risk of being enjoined at holiday, and so this bullet refers to the fact that we needed to develop contingency plans if that were to occur.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Why do you put \$8 million number in that under that risk category?</li> <li>A. It was a broad based estimate at what the impact of of a product injunction might be on the fiscal year sales.</li> <li>Q. Fiscal year, which fiscal year is that?</li> <li>A. 2005.</li> <li>Q. Has there been analysis on the Braun cleaning base patent injunction on your product for another fiscal year let's say 2006?  MR. UELAND: Hold on a second. Can you just repeat the question. I just want to Just repeat the question so I understand.  MR. LIANG: Can you read it back because I don't remember.  (The requested portion was read back.)  MR. UELAND: Well, now I'm just gonna object and caution the witness that to the extent that any such analysis or any communications between you and an attorney resulted in an analysis like the one that you're being asked about, I instruct you not to answer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I do see that.</li> <li>Q. So what is the patent contingencies about?</li> <li>A. Could you be a little bit more specific?</li> <li>Q. Well, you wrote this and you say that there's some contingencies here. What what is that? You know, can you tell me more about what you're saying here?  MR. UELAND: Object to form.  THE WITNESS: Would you like to know something specific about that?  MR. LIANG:</li> <li>Q. Are you developing contingencies for if you get enjoined by the Braun patent cleaning system patent?  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: Were we at the time?  MR. LIANG: Right.</li> <li>A. At the time this was written develop contingencies. Yes, there was it was a business decision and a business discussion related to the potential risk of being enjoined at holiday, and so this bullet refers to the fact that we needed to develop</li> </ul>

# Jase 1.03-CV-12426-VVG 11ed 10/14/2005 Page 20 or 33 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Okay. Q. Of 2004? 3 3 MR. LIANG: You want to take a break or A. Correct. 4 we're okay? 5 Q. What were those contingency plans? MR. UELAND: I can keep going if you can 5 A. They related to options that we would have to 6 keep going. replace the products if they were, worse case, 7 MR. LIANG: enjoined, replace the enjoined product with non-8 O. This is -- handing you Exhibit 38. Have you seen 8 this document before? enjoined product. 9 Q. Another cleaning system; is that right? A. I have. 10 10 A. Not necessarily. Q. Did you produce this? 11 Q. So it would be the shaver without a cleaning 12 A. I did. 12 13 system? 13 Q. And this was produced in year November 2004? A. That was one potential contingency, yes. 14 14 A. Correct. O. Was a shaver with a different cleaning system 15 Q. Did you review this document yesterday in 15 preparation for this deposition? another contingency at that time? 16 16 A. It was something that was considered. 17 A. I believe so, yes. 17 Q. But that never happened; is that right? 18 O. Here it says men's shaving, national sales meeting. 18 What national sales meeting is that referring to? 19 A. Correct. 19 A. It was referring to a retail sales meeting that we Q. Neither -- none of the contingency plans went into 20 20 had all of our sales -- field sales 21 effect; is that correct? 21 22 22 A. That's correct. representatives, employees at our national 23 headquarters in November. O. When you say you had a contingency plan which 23 involved a different cleaning system, what was the Q. This was an internal meeting? 24 24 25 different cleaning system? A. Correct. Rayovac internal meeting. Page 76 Page 74 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 1 2 O. If you could go to R13618, please. A. At the time it was considering the air cleaning A. R13618? 3 system. 3 Q. Six one eight. O. And by Christmas '05 would it have been ready? 4 4 A. Christmas '05? 5 5 A. Okay. Q. I'm sorry, Christmas '04 is what we're talking 6 Q. This page is entitled plan overview. What is this 6 7 about: right? plan? 7 A. At the time this was written in September of '04, 8 A. This is intended to be a marketing plan overview 8 9 which includes everything from media to new product that would not have been feasible. Q. R13866, if you could turn to that. 10 launches and PR and promotions. 10 O. Is the -- is the air cleaner mentioned in here at A. Eight six six? 11 11 all? 12 Q. Eight six six. In the middle of that page it says 12 strategy, launch new technology into high end and A. Nope. 13 13 Q. This is Exhibit 28 I'm handing you. Have you seen then cascade it down as time progresses. Do you 14 14 15 this document before? 15 see that? A. I do. 16 A. I have. 16 17 Q. Do you know what new technology in the high end is 17 Q. Did you produce it? A. I did. being described here? 18 18 19 A. It's a generalization of a strategy that -- that 19 O. Did you produce it in the year September 2004? involves innovative new features and benefits 20 20 O. When -- did you review this yesterday in 21 related to the shavers, not related to a specific 21 22 new technology. 22 preparation for this deposition? A. I don't recall. 23 23 O. It's sort of a goal, a general goal; would that be O. If you could turn to R13436, please. accurate? 24 24 25 MR. UELAND: You said 36? A. It's not a goal, no, it's a strategy. Page 75 Page 77

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY  MR. LIANG: Yeal, 1345.  1. It says overall foll sales declining in recent period, Braun posting strong growth. The overall foll sales declining, is that for the industry segment that you mentioned here? A. What this represents is NPD Houseworld reporting market exclusions, which excludes Wall-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is sales growth as a percentage of change versus prior year.  12. Q. So the - this is for foll only; is that right? 13. A. This is for foll, yes. 14. Q. So the Remington foll volume has decreased in - in this period of time? 15. A. Yes, it is. 16. Q. So the Remington foll volume has decreased in - in this period of time? 17. A. The report? 18. A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep. on six and twelve months basis. 21. Q. And that was - the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 22. The propert? 23. Q. Yeah, this NPD - NPD study, was that done for 24. MR. LIFLAND: You're asking him that's 25. MR. LIANG: 26. Why are all others a premain of the strong the strong spins, is that right, for their foll 37. A. The remain and the dollar declines, yep, 38. A. Ther ments beautiful the support of the strong the support of the support	1	Case 1.05-67-12420-7761 Document 2	ΙZ	Filed 10/14/2005
3 O. It says overall foil sales declining in recent period, Braun posting strong growth. The overall foil sales declining, is that for the industry segment that you mentioned here?  A. What this represents is NPD Houseworld reporting market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is sales growth as a percentage of change versus prior year.  O. So the - this is for foil only; is that right?  O. So the - this is for foil only; is that right?  O. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in - in this period of time?  A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  O. And that was - the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  C. Yeah, this NPD - NPD study, was that done for 2004?  C. Yeah, this NPD - NPD study, was that done for 2004?  C. O. Figer 7  D. O. So the fact that Braun has posted of time?  A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  O. And that was - the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  C. Yeah, this NPD - NPD study, was that done for 2004?  C. O. Figer 8  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. Correct.  O. A. O. How that same period of time Braun has poted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what that says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what that says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what that says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what that says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what the says, tere of the control of time?  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what the says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what the says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what the says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what the says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's what the says, tere.  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that		CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1	
period. Braun posting strong growth. The overall folis ales declining, is that for the industry segment that you mentioned here?  A. What this represents is NPD Houseworld reporting market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is as ales growth as a percentage of change versus prior year.  Q. So the — this is for foil only; is that right?  A. This is for foil, yes.  A. This is for foil, yes.  A. Q. Right. R E M, is that Remington?  A. A the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  Q. O. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  A. The report?  A. The is that the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  Q. Yeah, this NPD—NPD study, was that done for 2004?  A. The report?  A. Correct.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY MR. LIAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The — this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  A. A the time we had a number of factore that, twelve months is one year?  A. A the time we had a number of foil shavers were declining during this period of time?  The proof of time?  A. A the time we had a number of foil shavers were declining during this period of time?  This chard to so not reflect which segments of the Braun has produced their phasing of foil shaver and shifted more space all allocation to rotary, Braun has a cleaning system have any impact on their growth in this period of time?  This chard toes not reflect which segments of the Braun has produced time?  This chard toes not reflect which segments of the Braun has posted traver growing or not.  The proof of time?  A. A the ing were a mumber of factors that contributed to that. As we reduced — as Remington Foil share segment in the collar properties.  A. A the ing were a mumber of factors that the contributed to that. As we reduced — as Remington to that. As we reduced — as Remington to rotary, Br	2	MR. LIANG: Yeah. 13436.	2	MR. UELAND: Object to the form.
foil sales declining, is that for the industry segment that you mentioned here? A. What this represents is NPD Houseworld reporting market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is sales growth as a percentage of change versus prior year.  Q. So the — this is for foil only; is that right? A. This is for foil, yes.  Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in — in this period of time? A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? A. The report? CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. UELAND: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The — this is period of time? A. Correct. Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers months is one year? A. Cornet. Q. Why are all others again here? A. At the time we had a number of foll shavers were declining during that period of time? A. There were a number of factors that contributed to that. As we reduced — as Remington produced their phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space allocation to rotary, Braum was the benefactor of the phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space and location to rotary, Braum was the henefactor of the any interport of the phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space and location to rotary, Braum was the henefactor of the phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space and location to rotary, Braum was the henefactor of the phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space and location to rotary, Braum was the henefactor of the any interport on their growth in this period of the 2 on shift more and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis of the part of the phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space a	3	Q. It says overall foil sales declining in recent	3	THE WITNESS: Can you ask it a little bit
6 Segment that you mentioned here? 7 A. What this represents is NTD Houseworld reporting market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is as alse growth as a percentage of change versus prior year. 10 prior year. 11 prior year. 12 Q. So the — this is for foil only; is that right? 13 A. This is for foil, yes. 14 Q. Right. R E M, is that Remington? 15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in — in this period of time? 17 this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 19 Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 10 A. The report? 11 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY MR. UFLAND: Object to the form. 12 THE WITNESS: The — this is period ending June 2004. 13 A. Convect. 14 Q. J. And thuring this period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 16 Q. J. The oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 17 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers and slot and wall. 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here and the dollar declines, yep, on six and that was proposed to the proposed of time? 18 A. A. The report? 20 Q. Yeah, this NFD — NFD study, was that done for 2004; the year 2004? 21 A. The report? 22 West, this NFD — NFD study, was that done for 2004. 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yeah, this NFD — NFD study, was that done for 2004. 24 A. Yes, I do. 25 Q. J. — Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 26 Q. J. — Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, As a was a declined during that period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shaves? 26 A. A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers declined during that period of time? 27 A.	4	period, Braun posting strong growth. The overall	4	more specifically?
7 A. What this represents is NPD Houseworld reporting market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is sakes growth as a percentage of change versus proper year.  12 Q. So the - this is for foil only; is that right?  13 A. This is for foil, yes.  14 Q. Right. R E M, is that Remington?  15 A. Yes, it is.  16 Q. So the - this is for foil only; is that right?  17 this period of time?  18 A. At the time the sakes declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  19 Q. To that was the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  20 Q. Yesh, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  21 A. The report?  22 Q. Yesh, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  23 A. The report?  24 Q. Yesh, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  25 A. The report?  26 Q. Yesh, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  27 A. Correct.  28 A. Correct.  29 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  30 A. This what that says, yes.  31 A. This what that asys, yes.  32 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that asys, yes.  33 O. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  34 A. Correct.  35 A. This what that says, yes.  36 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  38 A. Correct.  39 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  39 A. The report?  30 A. This is for foil, yes.  31 A. The report?  32 A. The report?  33 A. The report?  44 A. The report with in this period of time.  45 A. The report?  46 Q. Yesh, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004; the year 2004?  47 A. The report?  48 A. Correct.  49 Q. The word while will be provided the will be provided the provided the provided that the provided the provided the provided the provided that provided the provided the provided that provided the provided that provid	5	foil sales declining, is that for the industry	5	MR. LIANG:
market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes is sage growth as a percentage of change versus prior year.  10 Q. So the — this is for foil only; is that right?  11 A. This is for foil, yes.  12 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in — in this period of time?  13 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on on ix and trevelve months basis.  11 Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  12 D. Yeah, this NPD — NPD study, was that done for 2004?  13 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY MR, UELAND: Object to the form.  14 CONFIDENTIAL - So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  15 A. Correct.  16 Q. I. Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  17 A. Correct.  18 A. Correct.  19 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  19 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  10 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining of months of the marketing mix, creative, and so that was being reflected in those market results.  10 Do you have — is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  20 Q. Do you have — is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  21 C. Iny or could turn to 13442, please.  22 A. This stand the collar it experience and in the contributed to that. As we reduced — as Remington produced their that. As we reduced — as Remington for that, as we reduced — as Remington for the stand contributed to that. As we reduced — as Remington for space all contents or of "or fos one of that foil share sand illocation to rotary, Braun was the benefactor of ~ - of some of that foil share sand illocation to rotary, Braun as cleaning allocation to rotary, Braun and Seale and Investment of the A. This chart does not reflect which is there any reason there are illocation to rotary, Braun and S	6	segment that you mentioned here?	6	Q. Braun has posted strong both during this period of
9 period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes 10 is sales growth as a percentage of change versus 11 prior year. 12 Q. So the this is for foil only; is that right? 13 A. This is for foil, yes 14 A. Yes, it is. 14 Q. Right. R E M, is that Remington? 15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in in this period of time? 17 this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, 20 on six and twelve months basis. 19 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, 22 the year 2004? 21 d. The report? 22 Q. Yesh, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 22 page 14 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 25 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 23 THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. 24 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 25 D. And June 2004. 26 Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 27 A. Correct. 28 A. Correct. 29 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 29 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 20 A. There were a number of factors that contributed to that. As we reduced as Remington produced their phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space all adiction to tratary. Braun was the benefactor of of some of that foil shavers and shifted more space all claims of the phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space all claims of the fact that Evan has a cleaning system have any impact on their growth in this period of time? 20 A. There were a number of factors the all cannot report of time? 21 A. This hard toos not reflect which segments of the Braun has proved the fine in in the phasing of foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 22 A. Pansonic and Wahl. 23 A. The shart that the fine. 24 A. Period of the phasing and phasing of the fine. 25 D. Who are all others again here? 26 A. The shart th	7	A. What this represents is NPD Houseworld reporting	7	time which is what you say right here.
10 is sales growth as a percentage of change versus 11 prior year. 12 Q. So the — this is for foil only; is that right? 13 A. This is for foil, yes. 14 Q. Right. R.E.M., is that Remington? 15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in — in this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 17 Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 18 A. The report? 19 CONEIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 19 TEM WITLIANG: 20 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 21 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 22 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 23 delisted at the key accounts. We had lost phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space allocation to rotary, Braun was the benefactor of —of some of that foil share gain. 24 do Hat the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 25 delisted a fine key accounts. We had lost phasing at key accounts which braun had picked up at the time. 26 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing as the time. 27 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing as the time. 28 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing and braun were talking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that? 28 A. That's what that says, yes. 39 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that? 30 Q. The same all others are any inspace of the means of the missing of foil shavers and shifted more space allocation to rotary, Braun was the benefactor of time? 30 A. The same and shifted more space allocation to rotary, Braun was	8	market exclusions, which excludes Wal-Mart, and the	8	A. Mm-hmm.
prior year.  Q. So the — this is for foll only; is that right?  A. This is for foil, yes.  Q. So the Remington foll volume has decreased in — in this period of time?  A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  A. The report?  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EVES ONLY  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The — this is period ending June 2004.  A. A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. LIANG: OH and during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. LIANG: OH for the saking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was the the says there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was the the says there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was the says there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was the says yes.  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was the says there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That was the says there?  MR. LIANG: OH for their foil shavers?  A. That the time we had a number of foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. The time were growing on one that foil shavers and shifted more space allocation to rotary, Braun was thete and leaction to rotary, Braun was thete that be henefactor of the same and land there in this period of time?  A. The time the sales declines are listed here any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  A. The time the sales declines are listed here any reason why Braun is divored in driving share foil levers that are involved in driving share gains.	9	period ending June 2004 and what it characterizes	9	Q. Why is that?
12 Q. So the this is for foil only; is that right? 13 A. This is for foil, yes. 14 Q. Right, R E M, is that Remington? 15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in in this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 17 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 18 A. The report? 19 We have a 2004? 10 A. This is NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 11 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 12 MR. LIANG: 13 MR. LIANG: 14 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY MR. UELAND: Object to that, twelve months is one year? 15 A. This is one year? 16 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has post storne gains, is that right, for their foil shavers were declining during that same period of time? 15 A. This what that says, teer? 16 MR. LIANG: Yes. 17 A. This is for foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 19 When that says there? 10 A. This is for foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 11 A. This is for foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 18 A. This is for foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 19 When are all others again here? 10 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 19 A. This is fact that Brain has a cleaning system have any inpute of time? 10 The proof was that does not reflect which segments of the any inpute on their growth in this period of time? 10 Q. If you could turn to the next page was the benefactor of time any transportation to the next page, which is 13437, please. 18 A. This is hard the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months is osit on period. 19 Q. The you could turn to the next page and position to the next page, which is 13437, please. 19 Q. The you could turn to the next page and page and page and	10	is sales growth as a percentage of change versus	10	A. There were a number of factors that contributed to
13 Å. This is for foil, yes. 14 Q. Right. R EM, is that Remington? 15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in — in this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 17 Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 18 A. The report? 19 Q. Yeah, this NPD — NPD study, was that done for 2004? 19 Q. Yeah, this NPD — NPD study, was that done for 2004? 10 Q. Yeah, this NPD — NPD study, was that done for 2004? 11 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. UELAND. Object to the form. 12 MR. UELAND. Object to the form. 13 THE WITNESS: The — this is period ending June 2004. 14 ending June 2004. 15 Q. I — Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 16 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 17 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there? 18 A. Thaf's what that says, yes, delirated at the key accounts. What that says there? 19 Q. And during that period of time? 10 during that period of time? 11 during that period of time? 12 during that period of time? 13 Q. Who are all other; in quotes. Do you see that? 14 A. The firm of the number of foil shavers were declining during that that says, yes, delirated at the key accounts. What lat says there? 18 A. Thaf's what that says, yes, delirated at the key accounts. What lat says there? 19 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 10 G. Who are all others; in quotes. Do you see that? 11 G. Prior Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes, or our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results. 20 Q. Do you have — is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 21 Q. If you could turn to to the next page, which is 13	11	prior year.	11	that. As we reduced as Remington produced their
14 Q. Right. R E M, is that Remington? 15 A. Yes, it is. Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in in this period of time? 16 this period of time? 17 this period of time? 18 A. At the time the salee declines are listed here 19 under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 21 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004; the year 2004? 22 A. The report? 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004; The year 2004? 25 Page 78  1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 26 ending June 2004. 27 MR. LILLANG: 28 MR. LILLANG: 29 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has any impact on their growth in this period of time? 29 A. This chart does not reflect which segments of the Braun product line were growing or not. 20 (If you could turn to the next page, which is 13437, please. 20 (If you could turn to the next page, which is 13437, please. 21 Q. Veah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 22 Q. It says on top Braun capturing foil share lost by all other in quotes. Do you see that? 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Who are all other? 25 Page 78  26 Page 78  27 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 28 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 29 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has a visual product line were growing or not. 30 Q. Who are all other next page, which is 13437, please. 31 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 32 A. Yes, I do. 33 THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. 44 A. Panasonic and Wahl. 55 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 56 MR. LIANG: 57 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 48 A. Correct. 49 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 40 Q. MR. LIANG: Yes. 41 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others again here? 42 A. Yes, I do. 43 Q. Who are all others again here? 44 A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H.	12	Q. So the this is for foil only; is that right?	12	phasing of foil shavers and shifted more space
15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in in this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 20 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 21 A. The report? 22 A. The report? 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 25 2004? 26 TONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 27 A. Yes, I do. 27 A. The worth of this is period of time? 28 A. At the time we had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected in those market results. 29 G. Do have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 30 Q. Do have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 4 A. At the time we had a number of fot shavers being reflected in those market results. 4 Q. Do no have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 4 A. Q. Do no have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 4 A. Q. Do no have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 4 A. Corroct. 5 A. Thar's what that says, yes. 6 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining and bore on the product of time?  4 A. At the time whe and a number of foil shavers were declining and bore on the product of time? 5 A. Thar's what that says, yes. 6 Q. Do no have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 7 Q. Do no have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  4 Converse the fact that Braun thas any product line were growing on not.  4 A. At the time the heat dollar declines, yep.  5 Braun product line were growing on not.  6 D. If you could turn to the next page, which is 13437, please.  8 A. Okay.  9 C. No Kay.  9 C. No Kay.  9 C. CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A. Panasonic and Wahl.  9 C. CONFIDENTI	13	A. This is for foil, yes.	13	allocation to rotary, Braun was the benefactor
16 Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in in this period of time? 17 this period of time? 18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here 19 under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, 20 on six and twelve months basis. 21 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, 22 the year 2004? 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yesh, this NFD NFD study, was that done for 25 2004? 26 TEB WITHERS: The this is period ending June 2004. 27 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 28 A. At The report of that, 29 tree with minimum and the dollar declines, yep, 29 on six and twelve months basis. 20 (J. If you could turn to the next page, which is 13437, 21 please. 22 (J. It says on top Braun capturing foil share lost by 23 all other in quotes. Do you see that? 24 A. Yes, I.d. 25 (J. Who are all other? 26 (J. I. Oh, I see, So six months prior to that, 27 twelve months is one year? 28 A. Correct 29 (J. Oh, I see, So six months prior to that, 30 the remaining of the form. 31 TEB WITNESS: The this is period 40 ending June 2004. 41 A. Panasonic and Wahl. 42 A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H.L. Those brands had 43 bost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts 44 Which Braun had picked up at the time. 45 (J. Oh, I see, So six months prior to that, 46 what that says there? 46 MR. LLANG: Yes. 47 (J. Condition of Wahl, W A H.L. Those brands had 48 bost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts 49 (J. This what that says there? 40 (J. This what that says there? 41 MR. LLANG: Yes. 41 (J. A. Was, I.d. 42 (J. This what that says, yes. 43 (J. This what that says, yes. 44 (J. This chart does not reflect which segments of the mext page, which is 13437, please. 45 (J. Who are all others? 46 (J. This chart does not reflect which segments of the mext page, which is 13437, 20 (J. It says on top Braun capturing foil share lost by 21 all other in quotes. Do you see that? 22 (J. Panasonic and Wahl. 23 (J. Panasonic and Wahl. 24 (J. Panasonic and Wahl. 25 (J. Panasonic and Wahl. 26 (J. This chart does not reflect which seg	14	Q. Right. REM, is that Remington?	14	of of some of that foil share gain.
this period of time?  18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here  19 under both the units and the dollar declines, yep,  20 on six and twelve months basis.  21 Q. And that was — the report, was that also for 2004,  22 the year 2004?  23 A. The report?  24 Q. Yeah, this NPD — NPD study, was that done for  25 2004?  26 Yeah, this NPD — NPD study, was that done for  27 2004?  28 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  29 MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  30 THE WITNESS: The — this is period  40 ending June 2004.  41 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers  42 A. Panasonic and Wahl, WA HL. Those brands had  43 bloom that the says there?  44 A. Additing that same period of time Braun has  45 posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil  46 shavers?  47 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's  48 what that says there?  49 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  40 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers  41 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing  42 on or foil in favor of some of our rotary products  43 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the  44 respective witch segments of the  45 Braum product line were growing or not.  46 (20 If you could turn to the next page, which is 13437,  46 please.  47 A. Cosy.  48 A. Yes, I do.  49 Panasonic and Wahl.  40 Panasonic and Wahl.  41 A. Pinis chart does not reflect which segments of the  42 A. Ves, I do.  43 A. This chart does not reflect which segments of the  44 A. Says or top Braun capturing foil share lost by  45 All others:  46 Oor FIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  47 Panasonic and Wahl.  48 A. Panasonic and Wahl.  49 A. At the time were growing or not.  40 Cooldy out undered to the next page, which is 13437,  40 Panasonic and Wahl.  40 Panasonic and Wahl.  41 A. Pinis chart does not reflect which segments of the  42 A. Fanisonic and Wahl.  41 A. Panasonic and Wahl.  42 A. Panasonic and Wahl.  43 A. Yes, I do.  44 Panasonic and Wahl.  45 A. Yes, I do.  46 Panasonic and Wahl.  47 A. Pana	15	A. Yes, it is.	15	Q. Does the fact that Braun has a cleaning system have
18 A. At the time the sales declines are listed here under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis.  21 Q. And that was - the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004?  22 the year 2004?  23 A. The report?  24 Q. Yeah, this NPD - NPD study, was that done for 2004?  25 2004?  26 Yeah, this NPD - NPD study, was that done for 2004?  27	16	Q. So the Remington foil volume has decreased in in	16	any impact on their growth in this period of time?
19 under both the units and the dollar declines, yep, on six and twelve months basis. 20 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 21 A. The report? 22 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 22 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 23 THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. 24 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 25 MR. LIANG: 26 Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 27 A. Correct. 28 A. Correct. 29 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has postred strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 29 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says, yes. 20 Monare all others next page, which is 13437, pelease. 21 A. Okay. 22 Who are all other in quotes. Do you see that? 23 A. Panasonic and Wahl. 24 A. Panasonic and Wahl. WA H.L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 27 Q. Could you turn to 13442, please. 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has postred strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 20 MR. LIANG: Yes. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. It says on top Braun capturing foil share lost by all others in quotes. Do you see that? 24 A. Panasonic and Wahl. 25 A. Panasonic and Wahl. WA H.L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 26 Q. I could you turn to 13442, please. 27 A. Yes, I do. 28 Q. Don top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that? 29 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 20 A. That's what that says, yes. 21 A. Others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl. 29 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shif	17	this period of time?	17	A. This chart does not reflect which segments of the
20 on six and twelve months basis. 21 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, the year 2004? 22 A. The report? 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 25 2004? 26 Page 78  27 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 28 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 29 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 30 THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. 40 ending June 2004. 51 MR. LIANG: 42 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foll shavers? 43 A. Correct. 44 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were decliming during that period of time? 45 MR. LIANG: Yes. 46 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers were decliming during that period of time? 47 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were decliming during that period of time? 48 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers were decliming during that period of time? 40 How come the Remington foil shavers were decliming on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results. 50 Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 50 Construction of time? 51 A. Okay. 52 A. Yes, I do. 52 Q. Who are all other? 52 A. Panasonic and Wahl. W A. H. L. Those brands had lost to foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 51 A. Panasonic and Wahl. W A. H. L. Those brands had lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 52 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that? 54 A. Yes. J. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that? 54 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers were decliming form strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products	18	A. At the time the sales declines are listed here	18	Braun product line were growing or not.
21 Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004, 22 the year 2004? 23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004? 25 2004? 26 Page 78  The WITNESS: The this is period 27 and the WITNESS: The this is period 28 A. Correct. 30 Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 4 A. Correct. 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 4 A. That's what that says there? 4 MR. LIANG: Yes. 5 A. That's what that says, yes. 6 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 6 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 7 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers 8 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected in those market results. 9 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 24 A. Okay. 25 Q. It says on top Braun capturing foil share lost by all other in quotes. Do you see that? 24 A. Yes, I do. 25 Q. Who are all other? 26 Who are all other? 27 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 28 A. Panasonic and Wahl. 29 A. Panasonic and Wahl. W A H L. Those brands had lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 40 A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 41 A. Poss. 42 A. Yes. 43 A. Penasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 44 A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 45 A. Yes. 46 A. Penasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 47 Could you turn to 13442, please. 48 A. Yes. 49 Q.	19	under both the units and the dollar declines, yep,	19	Q. If you could turn to the next page, which is 13437,
the year 2004?  2 A. The report?  4 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  Page 78  Page 78  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  MR. LIANG:  MR. LIANG:  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  MR. LIANG:  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers were declining on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  22 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  24 A. Yes, I do.  25 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  A Panasonic and Wahl.	20	on six and twelve months basis.	20	please.
23 A. The report? 24 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  Page 78  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  MR. UELAND: Object to the form.  THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  M. Q. Do yon have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  A. Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	21	Q. And that was the report, was that also for 2004,	21	A. Okay.
24 Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for 2004?  Page 78  Page 78  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. UEL.AND: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. MR. LIANG: Q. In sorry? A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Q. Mro are all others again here?  MR. LIANG: Yes, I do. Q. Contyle Dentital ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. Q. Could you turn to 13442, please. A. Yes, I do. Q. I'm sorry? A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. Q. Could you turn to 13442, please. A. Yes, I do. Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others in quotes, all others in quotes, all others in quotes, all others again here? A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl, Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done? A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains. C. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  D. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  D. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?	22	the year 2004?	22	Q. It says on top Braun capturing foil share lost by
25 Q. Who are all other?  Page 80  CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MR. UELAND: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. MR. LIANG: MR. LIANG: Q. I- Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? A. Correct. Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there? MR. LIANG: Yes, A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  D. Ou over the Remington foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing that this study was done? A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  D. Ou you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  D. CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY A. Panasonic and Wahl. B. Panasonic and Wahl. A. Panasonic and Wahl. B. Panasonic and Wahl. A. Panasonic and Wahl. B. Panasonic and	23	A. The report?	23	all other in quotes. Do you see that?
1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 MR. UELAND: Object to the form. 3 THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. 4 ending June 2004. 5 MR. LIANG: 6 Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year? 7 twelve months is one year? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 11 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there? 12 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there? 13 What that says there? 14 MR. LIANG: Yes. 15 A. That's what that says, yes. 16 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time? 17 during that period of time? 18 Noreloc and all others again here? 19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results. 20 Q. Do you have – is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time? 20 C. If you could turn to 13462, please. 3 Panasonic and Wahl. 4 A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 4 Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 4 Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 4 Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. 5 Could you turn to 13442, please. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, Do you see that? 10 A. Yes, I do. 11 Go How come all others again here? 12 A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panason	24	Q. Yeah, this NPD NPD study, was that done for	24	A. Yes, I do.
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  MR. UELAND: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The - this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG: MR. LIANG: MR. LIANG:  MR. LIANG:  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG:  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG:  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG:  MR. LIANG:  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. A That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. A Honasonic and Wahl.  MR. Danasonic and Wahl.  MR. Liang:  MR. Ueland:  MR. Ueland:  MR. Ueland:  MR. Liang:  MR	25	2004?	25	Q. Who are all other?
MR. UELAND: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  NR. LIANG:  O. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  O. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  D. Po you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  A. A reasonic and Wahl. A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost of the vich also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.  Which Braun had picked up at the time.  Vhich Braun had picked up at the time.  O. Could you turn to 13442, please.  A. Yes.  9 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes, all o		Page 78		Page 80
MR. UELAND: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  NR. LIANG:  O. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  O. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. LIAND: You're asking him that's  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  D. Po you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  A. A reasonic and Wahl. A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost of the vich also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.  Which Braun had picked up at the time.  Vhich Braun had picked up at the time.  O. Could you turn to 13442, please.  A. Yes.  9 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes, all o				
THE WITNESS: The – this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I.— Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG:  MR. LIANG:  O. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  O. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  D. Do you have — is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost — had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had plocked up at the time.  C. Could you turn to 13442, please.  A. Yes.  Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes, all others is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others again here?  A. Yes, I do.  13 Q. Who are all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than  15 Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. Do you have — is there any reason why Braun is involved in driving share gains.  Q. I	ı			
ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's  MR. LIANG: Yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  A. Denasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost — had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time. Which Braun had picked up at the time.  Vision Braun taking share  From Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others  In quotes. Do you see that?  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from  Norelco and all others during this period of time  that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from  strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	2	MR LIELAND: Object to the form.	ויי	
MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  Distributed up at the time.  Which Braun had picked up at the time.  Who are all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from  Norelco and all others during this period of time  that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from  strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	8		1	
6 Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, 7 twelve months is one year? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has 10 posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil 11 shavers? 12 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's 13 what that says there? 14 MR. LIANG: Yes. 15 A. That's what that says, yes. 16 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining 17 during that period of time? 18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers 19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing 20 on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products 21 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the 22 shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results. 24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time?  4 A. Correct.  7 Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.  8 A. Yes.  9 Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?  10 A. Yes, I do.  11 duetors. Do you see that?  12 A. Yes, I do.  13 Q. Who are all others again here?  14 A. All others refer to other bands other than  15 Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  16 Primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  17 Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?  20 A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.		THE WITNESS: The this is period	3	Q. I'm sorry?
twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  To twelve months is one year?  Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.  A. Yes.  Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?  A. At ges, I do.  Q. Who are all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco and all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco and all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco and all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco and all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others again here?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, pac	4	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.	3 4	<ul><li>Q. I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, WAHL. Those brands had</li></ul>
8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers? 11	4 5	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004. MR. LIANG:	3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts</li></ul>
9 Q. And during that same period of time Braun has 10 posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil 11 shavers? 12 MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's 13 what that says there? 14 MR. LIANG: Yes. 15 A. That's what that says, yes. 16 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining 17 during that period of time? 18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers 19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing 20 on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products 21 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the 22 shift from our foil business to rotary business was 23 being reflected in those market results. 24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time?  10 from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others 10 from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others 11 and so that: 12 A. Yes, I do. 13 Q. Who are all others again here? 14 A. All others refer to other brands other than 15 Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really 16 primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl. 17 Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from 18 Norelco and all others during this period of time 19 that this study was done? 20 A. Number of factors at play. Everything from 21 strength of the marketing mix, creative, 22 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, 23 products, packaging, number of levers that are 24 involved in driving share gains. 25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that,	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li></ul>
posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's  MR. LIANG: Yes.  MR. A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco.  Norelco and all others again here?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix,	4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> </ul>
shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's  MR. LIANG: Yes.  Memington, Braun and Norelco.  Norelco and all others during the priod of time that this study was done?  Norel	4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's  what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. Who are all others again here?  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really  primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining  during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers  de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing  on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products  and so that was being reflected, the sort of the  shift from our foil business to rotary business was  being reflected in those market results.  Do you have is there any reason why Braun is  doing so well during this period of time?  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really  primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  P. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from  Norelco and all others during this period of time  that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from  strength of the marketing mix, creative,  advertising, pending distribution, promotion,  products, packaging, number of levers that are  involved in driving share gains.  Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share</li> </ul>
what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MY. LIANG: Yes.  Liangle A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  MY. LIANG: Yes.  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from  Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers  B. Norelco and all others during this period of time  4. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others</li> </ul>
MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  14 A. All others refer to other brands other than  15 Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really  16 primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  17 primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers  19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing  20 on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products  21 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the  22 shift from our foil business to rotary business was  23 being reflected in those market results.  24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is  25 doing so well during this period of time?  14 A. All others refer to other brands other than  Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really  primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from  Norelco and all others during this period of time  that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> </ul>
A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers declining on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  D. Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> </ul>
16 Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining 17 during that period of time? 18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers 19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing 20 on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products 21 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the 22 shift from our foil business to rotary business was 23 being reflected in those market results. 24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time?  16 primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.  17 Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time  20 A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> </ul>
during that period of time?  18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers 19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing 20 on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products 21 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the 22 shift from our foil business to rotary business was 23 being reflected in those market results. 24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time?  17 Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time 20 Number of factors at play. Everything from 21 strength of the marketing mix, creative, 22 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, 23 products, packaging, number of levers that are 24 involved in driving share gains. 25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than</li> </ul>
18 A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers 19 de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing 20 on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products 21 and so that was being reflected, the sort of the 22 shift from our foil business to rotary business was 23 being reflected in those market results. 24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time?  18 Norelco and all others during this period of time 19 that this study was done? 20 A. Number of factors at play. Everything from 21 strength of the marketing mix, creative, 22 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, 23 products, packaging, number of levers that are 24 involved in driving share gains. 25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really</li> </ul>
de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  19 that this study was done?  A. Number of factors at play. Everything from 21 strength of the marketing mix, creative, 22 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, 23 products, packaging, number of levers that are 24 involved in driving share gains. 25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> </ul>
on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  20 A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  21 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  22 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  23 B. Number of factors at play. Everything from 24 advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  25 C. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from</li> </ul>
and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  21 strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time</li> </ul>
shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?  25 Lif you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> </ul>
being reflected in those market results.  24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time?  28 products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.  29 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> <li>A. Number of factors at play. Everything from</li> </ul>
24 Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is 25 doing so well during this period of time? 24 involved in driving share gains. 25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> <li>A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative,</li> </ul>
25 doing so well during this period of time? 25 Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> <li>A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion,</li> </ul>
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: The this is period ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> <li>A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are</li> </ul>
rage 81	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> <li>A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.</li> </ul>
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ending June 2004.  MR. LIANG:  Q. I Oh, I see. So six months prior to that, twelve months is one year?  A. Correct.  Q. And during that same period of time Braun has posted strong gains, is that right, for their foil shavers?  MR. UELAND: You're asking him that's what that says there?  MR. LIANG: Yes.  A. That's what that says, yes.  Q. How come the Remington foil shavers were declining during that period of time?  A. At the time we had a number of foil shavers de-listed at the key accounts. We had lost phasing on our foil in favor of some of our rotary products and so that was being reflected, the sort of the shift from our foil business to rotary business was being reflected in those market results.  Q. Do you have is there any reason why Braun is doing so well during this period of time?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Panasonic and Wahl, W A H L. Those brands had lost had also lost foil phasings at key accounts which Braun had picked up at the time.</li> <li>Q. Could you turn to 13442, please.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. On top of this is a Remington/Braun taking share from Norelco and all others, in quotes, all others in quotes. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Who are all others again here?</li> <li>A. All others refer to other brands other than Remington, Braun and Norelco. So it really primarily referred to Panasonic and Wahl.</li> <li>Q. How come Remington and Braun were taking share from Norelco and all others during this period of time that this study was done?</li> <li>A. Number of factors at play. Everything from strength of the marketing mix, creative, advertising, pending distribution, promotion, products, packaging, number of levers that are involved in driving share gains.</li> <li>Q. If you could turn to 13462, please.</li> </ul>

	Case 1:03-cv-12428-vvGY Document 2	
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTÖRNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	A. 13462?	2 Do you see that?
3	Q. Right. Actually my question has nothing to do with	3 A. Yes.
4	this page. How come Norelco has not come out with	4 Q. It says ships July. Is that going to ship in July?
5	a cleaning system to date?	5 Are those going to ship in July?
6	MR. UELAND: Objection, complete lack of	6 A. Yes.
7	foundation.	7 Q. Do those lines have cleaning systems?
8	MR. LIANG:	8 A. Again I I think I already answered this. We're
9	Q. If you know. Based on your marketing knowledge of	9 taking the shavers from the high-end cleaning
10	'em.	10 system with some modifications and cascading it
		down to the mid-tier price points.
11	A. I really don't know for sure.	
12	Q. That's fine.	12 Q. Right. And it does not have a cleaning system?
13	A. My conjecture -	13 A. Correct.
14	Q. No, I can live without it. Thanks. This is	14 Q. And the new R-9000 series it says shipped July?
15	Exhibit 56. Have you seen this document before?	15 A. Correct.
16	A. I have.	16 Q. That's a rotary line?
17	Q. Did you produce this document?	17 A. Correct.
18	A. Kristin Branch produced this document with my	18 Q. Does that have a cleaning system?
19	oversight.	19 A. No, it does not.
20	Q. Is Kristin somebody who reports to you?	20 Q. Is that going to launch is that going to ship in
21	A. Yes.	21 <b>July?</b>
22	Q. When was this document prepared?	22 A. Yes.
23	A. February of 2005.	23 Q. So that will come on the marketplace pretty soon
24	Q. What is a San Diego pre-read?	24 too?
25	A. We had a national sales meeting, again internal	25 A. Yes.
l	Page 82	Page 8
	•	
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
1 2	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided	1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any
ı		
2	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any
2 3	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.
2 3 4	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the
2 3 4 5	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the 5 record and look at some materials but I don't think
2 3 4 5	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah.	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the 5 record and look at some materials but I don't think 6 I'll have anything either.
2 3 4 5 6 7	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah.  Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the 5 record and look at some materials but I don't think 6 I'll have anything either. 7 MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah.  Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes.	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the 5 record and look at some materials but I don't think 6 I'll have anything either. 7 MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time. 8 (Off the record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah.  Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes.  Q. What is MSC-140?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver.	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the 5 record and look at some materials but I don't think 6 I'll have anything either. 7 MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time. 8 (Off the record.) 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah.  Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes.  Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver.  Q. And has it launched?	2 MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any 3 more questions, Kevin. 4 MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the 5 record and look at some materials but I don't think 6 I'll have anything either. 7 MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time. 8 (Off the record.) 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know 11 I do just have a brief series of questions. 12 Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005.	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think  I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  O. As they exist in the marketplace.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes.	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system?  A. No.	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system?  A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system?  A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that before. Could you refresh my recollection. That's	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?  A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system?  A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that before. Could you refresh my recollection. That's being launched or has already launched?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?  A. That's correct.  Q. Is that accurate?  A. That is it's correct that I answered that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140?  A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched?  A. It did. Q. When did it launch?  A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2?  A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system?  A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that before. Could you refresh my recollection. That's being launched or has already launched?  A. That has launched.	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?  A. That's correct.  Q. Is that accurate?  A. That is it's correct that I answered that way.  My answer was inaccurate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140? A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched? A. It did. Q. When did it launch? A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2? A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system? A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that before. Could you refresh my recollection. That's being launched or has already launched? A. That has launched. Q. That does not have a cleaning system either?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?  A. That's correct.  Q. Is that accurate?  A. That is it's correct that I answered that way. My answer was inaccurate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140? A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched? A. It did. Q. When did it launch? A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2? A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system? A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that before. Could you refresh my recollection. That's being launched or has already launched? A. That has launched. Q. That does not have a cleaning system either? A. Correct.	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?  A. That's correct.  Q. Is that accurate?  A. That is it's correct that I answered that way. My answer was inaccurate.  Q. Where is the filter located?  A. The filter does sit down in the bottom part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sales meeting, in San Diego in which we provided those materials prior to that meeting so our sales force could be familiar with the content.  Q. If you could turn to R12843, please. You got that?  A. Yeah. Q. It says new MSC-140 first year of May 1st?  A. Yes. Q. What is MSC-140? A. It refers to a shaver. Q. And has it launched? A. It did. Q. When did it launch? A. It launched in May of 2005. Q. Is that different from the MS-2? A. Yes. Q. Does this MSC-140 have a cleaning system? A. No. Q. And the MS-2 line, that's we've discussed that before. Could you refresh my recollection. That's being launched or has already launched? A. That has launched. Q. That does not have a cleaning system either?	MR. LIANG: You know, I don't have any more questions, Kevin.  MR. UELAND: I'm just going to go off the record and look at some materials but I don't think I'll have anything either.  MR. LIANG: Okay. Take your time.  (Off the record.)  EXAMINATION  BY MR. UELAND: If we could go back on. You know I do just have a brief series of questions.  Q. Early on Mr. Liang asked you questions about location of the filter on the Remington devices.  A. Right.  Q. As they exist in the marketplace.  A. Yes.  Q. And I think you testified that the filter was located on the top half of the cleaning system?  A. That's correct.  Q. Is that accurate?  A. That is it's correct that I answered that way. My answer was inaccurate.  Q. Where is the filter located?  A. The filter does sit down in the bottom part of the base is accurate.

	CONTROL AND DESCRIPTION OF A	
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	1 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2	MR. UELAND: I have nothing further.	2 CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS
3	MR. LIANG: I have no other questions.	3
4	(The deposition concluded at 11:15 a.m.)	4
5		5
6		6
7		7
8		8
9		9 I have read the foregoing pages, to
10		10, and the same is true and correct to the best
11		of my knowledge and belief. I (have/have not)
12		noted changes on an attached change sheet.
13		13
14		14
15		15 SEAN MARTIN
16		16
17		17
18		18 Notary Public in and for the
19		19 State of
20		20
21		21 Dated this day of, 2005
22		22 at
23		23
24		24 My commission expires, 20
25		25
	Page 86	Page 88
	2	- The state of the
1	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
2	STATE OF WISCONSIN )	
2 3 4	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )	
2 3 4 5	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered	
2 3 4	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with	
2 3 4 5 6 7	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF WISCONSIN ) MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF WISCONSIN )  MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STATE OF WISCONSIN )  MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF WISCONSIN )  MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF WISCONSIN )  MILWAUKEE COUNTY )  I, Kari M. Flaherty, Registered Professional Reporter, associated with Eastwood-Stein Deposition Management, 400 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by myself, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin.  I further certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and reduced to typewriting by computer-aided transcription under my supervision, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes.  Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this	

66:4,7,11,14,16

Avenue 1:20 2:4 87:8

A
ability 5:11
able 6:9 62:12
about 3:25 7:12 8:2,13
9:11,17 14:15,16,17
18:18 22:18 26:6,7
26:11,16,18 27:16,24
27:25 33:8 35:9,13 36:16 37:12 38:11
36:16 37:12 38:11
39:17,23 41:3,24
43:13 45:14 46:15
47:2 49:10,21 53:13
53:22 54:8,19 56:21
63:2 66:5,7 68:11
69:7,21 71:22 72:8
73:3,7,11 75:7 85:12
above 61:9
Absolutely 47:21 54:10
accessories 10:9
accounts 79:19 81:5
accurate 29:2,25 31:22
43:20 48:9 75:24
85:20,25
accurately 6:10
achieved 36:2 61:17,20
acquiring 47:13,14
acquisition 10:4
across 33:5,14
action 1:5 3:12
actively 39:5 51:15,16
actual 36:13
actually 18:9,22 23:10 26:14 38:5 47:3 49:9
26:14 38:5 47:3 49:9
50:15 58:19 66:23
82:3
add 4:20
adding 41:22,24 42:19
45:14
addition 40:18
additional 32:6
address 3:9
adds 22:8
advanced 38:22 39:7,9
50:18,19 51:5,7
advancement 39:12
advertising 10:24
63:10 65:5 81:22
advice 72:2,12
affixed 45:18
after 22:22 36:3 41:13
41:13 59:2
afternoon 7:10
again 9:18 30:4 31:21
33:14 37:11 38:12
39:15,25 44:24 46:23
57:4 58:17 60:19

61:25 72:9,10 81:13	
82:25 84:8	
against 1:5 21:17,17	
29:24 57:9	
<b>ago</b> 28:19 58:4 66:5 69:11	
ahead 12:10 37:10	
aid 8:22,23	
air 14:16 16:19,21,25	
17:15 18:23 20:10,15	
21:3,12 23:23 26:12	
27:15,19,23 28:3,8	
29:18,24 30:6,12,24	
31:5,7,25 35:10 36:14 37:16 38:7,17	
39:3 40:18 44:18	
45:4 50:4,10,11,12	
50:17,25 51:20 53:2	
53:9 55:19 69:14,24	
75:2 77:11	
alkaline 9:8	
allocation 80:13	
allow 22:9	
almost 40:3 alone 72:17	l
along 51:11	l
already 3:23 4:5 17:19	1
18:2,5 46:13 83:21	Ì
84:8	
alterations 38:10	
alternatives 31:10	I
amenable 4:8	l
amended 4:4 amending 4:4	
America 52:3,3,6,7	
53:7 65:15	١
American 51:22,23	١
Americas 2:4	İ
analysis 11:3,4 20:9	ļ
32:6 48:23,25 49:11	١
67:17 71:9,20,21	I
and/or 4:6 67:6 announced 22:4	Į
another 26:13 49:13	I
71:10 74:10,16	I
answer 5:10 6:9 13:4	
14:3,6,6 19:11 20:18	
26:20 37:10 44:2	ı
45:11,12 63:7 71:23	
72:14,16 85:22	I
<b>answered</b> 26:5 37:10 70:12 84:8 85:21	
answers 5:13	
anticipated 35:14,14	
anybody 7:23	
anything 5:14 14:25	
33:2,3 85:6	-

anyway 33:4
anywhere 53:6
apart 72:14
appeal 51:20
appearance 53:20,22
appeared 2:4,7 5:4
appearing 5:7
application 17:9
appropriate 37:19
approval 24:6
April 65:22,24 70:7
area 26:21
areas 39:12
around 45:9 50:15
68:23
asked 13:9,15 26:4
37:9 70:11 71:22
85:12
asking 13:10 15:25 16:2 21:13 26:10,11
26:13 48:20 51:10
63:3 79:12
assigned 47:23
associated 87:6
association 11:20
attached 2:14 43:23
44:4,10,11 88:12
attended 8:4,7
attorney 14:4 71:21
attorneys 1:1 2:1 3:1
4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1
9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1
13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1
17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1
21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1
21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
29:1 30:1 31:1 22:1
33.1 34.1 35.1 36.1
33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1
37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1
45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1
49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1
53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1
57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1
65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1
69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1
72:15 73:1 74:1 75:1
76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1
80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1
84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1
88:1
attorney-client 13:5
45:11
attributes 19:16 24:17
audible 5:13
August 29:13 58:6 59:5
i .

Avila 19:5 26:25 aware 27:19 40:22 42:21 47:8,10 48:3 61:21 away 20:11,16 67:21 a.m 1:13 86:4 В **B** 2:8,11 59:20 bachelors 8:6 back 6:18 13:14,22,23 22:20 33:10,24 49:16 56:11,17 57:16 65:10 71:15,17 72:22,24,25 85:10 background 8:2 base 20:12,22 23:25 28:22 29:14 32:15 40:18,19 41:23,25 42:19 44:12 45:15 61:11,13 67:6 70:21 71:9 85:25 based 34:23 60:8 71:4 82:9 basic 5:6 basis 13:4 20:7 32:10 45:11 68:9 78:20 bates 27:21 batteries 9:8,9,20 battery 8:23 9:3,19 66:23 beard 66:22 **become** 47:10 **before** 5:2 7:2 10:12 15:13 27:6 34:2 37:12 46:25 47:8,14 49:7 56:2 59:8,14 61:23 62:6 63:18 64:5 67:13 69:2 76:9 77:15 82:15 83:20 behalf 2:4,7 being 15:15,18,21,25 16:2,7,10,13,21 17:19 18:24 24:15 29:17 36:8,11 37:6 39:5 41:10 42:2 45:2 45:15 50:2,3 69:18 70:2 71:22 73:22 75:18 79:21,23 83:21 belief 88:11 believability 19:14 believable 28:6 believe 17:16,21 23:9 23:11,22 30:25 31:22 31:23 34:17 35:25

42:7 44:15 50:5 53:18,23 55:11,16 56:7 58:14,21 60:2 60:19 61:16,19 63:8 76:17 below 38:9 benchmark 30:6 54:25 57:22 benchmarked 20:5 benchmarks 19:23 57:24 benefactor 80:13 benefits 19:16 60:23 75:20 best 5:11 88:10 between 8:14 30:19 49:13 64:12 71:21 beyond 52:7 big 59:24 68:16 bit 73:4 80:3 blast 18:23 **Bloomington** 8:12 board 61:18 **both** 20:23 21:2 34:23 41:19 51:2,14,16 54:22 78:19 80:6 bottom 27:22 37:17 60:7 67:5 72:4 85:24 box 20:7 32:21 39:3 boxes 19:20 32:16 bracket 41:19 42:13,24 **bracketing** 41:15,17 branch 8:13 82:18 **brand** 8:22 9:3,8,12,15 22:12 64:24 65:3 **brands** 3:16,20,21 4:15 81:4,14 Braun 1:3 3:12,13 20:6 21:22 47:8,24 48:11 49:12 54:6,7 57:3,7 57:10,17,23 58:16 61:12 62:12,19 63:8 64:21,24 70:20 71:9 72:5 73:14 78:4 79:9 79:24 80:6,13,15,18 80:22 81:6.15.17 Braun's 45:9 47:2.10 62:16 break 5:25 6:2 33:21 76:3 **brief** 85:11 briefly 4:2 **broad** 35:22 71:4 broadly 21:21 brought 26:7 **bucket** 70:3 **bullet** 60:14 61:9,10

Page 2				
62:11 67:5 70:20	75:6	commercialization	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	conversations 3:25
72:4 73:22	circle 32:17	46:12,24	40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1	72:14
business 8:5,23,23 9:4	Civil 1:5	commission 87:25	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1	core 19:16 60:23
9:13,19,19,25 10:15	clarify 44:3 48:5	88:24	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1	corner 28:24
11:3,4 17:17 20:24	clean 14:18 41:12	communicated 12:4	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1	CORPORATION 1:6
46:11,20 47:16 59:12	67:24	communications 71:20	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1	correct 3:17,18 8:18
62:2 72:15 73:20,21	cleaner 15:11 16:8,10	company 4:11 8:11	60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1	10:14,14,18 11:12,13
79:22,22	27:15 28:9 30:12	47:5	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1	12:9,11,21 14:23
businesses 11:2	41:16 50:12 77:11	compare 57:16,21	68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1	15:16,17,20 17:13
buy 22:10	cleaners 62:25	compared 30:7,7 49:3	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1	18:19 27:20 29:13,16
buy 22.10	cleaning 14:16 15:15	compete 21:17	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1	30:14 31:17 32:3
C	16:7,18,19,21,25	competing 21:16 22:14	80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1	34:12 37:7 43:15
C 2:3,8	17:11,15 20:4,6,10	competition 66:21	84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1	44:8 47:17 51:4,18
called 3:2 36:13 64:3	20:11,12,15,16,21	competitive 14:23	88:1	51:25 53:10 57:8
came 18:7 61:17 62:2	21:2,12,23,25 22:5,6	21:21 22:17	configuration 38:10	58:10 66:6 70:7 74:4
came 18.7 01.17 02.2 cannibalize 20:22	22:8,16 23:4 25:8,14	competitor 22:7	39:8,17	74:19,21,22 76:14,25
cannoanze 20.22	1	competitors 21:11	conjecture 82:13	79:8 83:24 84:13,15
	25:14 26:12 27:23		consensus 35:17	
capturing 80:22	28:4,8,22 29:10,14	<b>complete</b> 10:5 25:23,25 37:13 39:6,11 46:24	consider 22:7,17 45:7	84:17 85:19,21 87:16 88:10
care 67:23	29:18,19,24,24 30:6	51:8 82:6	consideration 20:14,19	88:10 cosmetics 24:19
cartridge 17:12 24:5	30:8,10,24 31:5,8,25			
24:21 36:17,18 37:4	35:10 37:17,23 38:6	completed 26:3,19 37:8	20:20 21:9 24:7 41:3 69:19	cost 37:15,18,22,25
37:5,8,24,25 38:3,15	38:7,17 39:4 40:18	39:9,13,17,19,23	V	38:4,6 41:7,15,20
39:22 40:2,10 52:15	40:21,23 41:4,5,14	42:5,14,20	considered 18:24 36:9	44:25 54:22
52:18	42:9 43:24 44:6,19	completely 50:23	40:23 41:6,14,22	counsel 72:12,13
cartridges 17:10	45:8 46:3,8,10,12,16	components 40:4 41:10	43:22 44:13,15,18	counsel's 72:2
cascade 75:14	46:18 47:3,8,10,15	compress 36:14	45:16 50:3 55:20	country 53:12
cascading 84:10	47:15 48:16 50:4,10	compressor 36:13 37:6	64:21,22 69:18 70:2	COUNTY 87:3
case 7:17 11:21 15:6	50:11,17 51:2,14,20	37:21 38:12 39:20,25	74:17	<b>couple</b> 36:8 65:13 68:6
71:25 74:7	53:2,9 55:10,19	40:6,11,15 52:10,11	considering 40:20	course 5:11
category 9:19 19:16	57:22 58:7 59:21	52:13,14,16	42:25 52:14 75:2	court 1:1,18 5:4,8,14
60:23 67:12 71:3	60:4,7,8,15,22 63:12	computer-aided 87:14	consistent 37:11	6:21
caught 65:14	65:22 66:12,18,20,24	concentration 8:8	consulted 48:15	cover 41:22,24 42:19
caution 71:19	67:6,14,15,18,22,23	concept 2:13 17:5	consumer 18:4,9,11,17	45:14,15,21,21,23
cell 30:20	68:4,5 69:14,24	18:10,11,14 22:18,20	19:8 20:2 23:6,12,18	CO2 2:13 15:11,15
certain 41:10 44:17	70:14,21 71:9 72:5	22:22 27:5,14,15,18	27:14 38:8 46:6	16:7,18,22 17:9,12
certainly 4:8	73:14 74:10,12,15,24	28:3,4,8 29:18,21,22	51:21 53:23,25 54:23	21:18 24:5 36:17,18
CERTIFICATE 88:2	74:25 75:2 80:15	30:8,23 36:2 39:5,6	56:22 57:5 59:3 60:3	37:3,8 40:10 55:10
certify 87:9,12	82:5 83:17,23 84:7,9	46:2 50:11,14 52:2	consumers 17:5 22:12	creative 81:21
change 3:19 4:5 16:4	84:12,18 85:18	53:9,25 56:22,24,25	28:3 30:3,15 51:23	current 10:19 14:17,19
78:10 88:12	cleaning/charging	57:2,3 60:3,8,20	51:24 57:5	20:4,11,21 29:10
changed 3:23	61:11	63:12 69:25	consumer's 60:23	37:23 41:4 42:6,23
changes 46:5 88:12	clear 3:15 25:11 54:13	concepts 30:4 50:2,7	contact 41:18	43:3 44:19 45:8 46:3
changing 44:13	close 22:24 23:22 53:21	57:21 65:6,13 69:17	content 83:4	46:8 53:11,16,16
characterization 30:2	60:7	69:19	contents 14:13	67:22
characterize 54:21	closeness 19:17 60:24	concern 24:5,8	contingencies 72:5	currently 11:9 22:3
characterized 64:23	college 8:3,10	concluded 86:4	73:3,6,13,19	25:8 28:13,23 38:5
characterizes 78:9	color 24:19	conducted 50:14 56:23	contingency 73:24 74:5	44:9 50:17 52:20
characterizing 61:3	combination 31:12	confer 72:17	74:14,16,20,23	cutting 22:13
charge 9:24 10:20	combining 42:22	CONFIDENTIAL 1:1	continue 62:12	CV 1:5
40:24	come 17:18 31:6 57:25	2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	continued 22:19 60:16	D
charging 41:18	79:16 81:17 82:4,4	7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1	62:13	
chart 35:25 55:10,12	84:23	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1	continuing 65:17	D 2:3 38:22,24
69:2,15 80:17	comfort 19:17 60:24	16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1	contrast 57:21	Dalila 3:25
Chasen 19:7	comfortable 4:7	20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1	contributed 80:10	date 1:11 12:13 28:25
Chicago 2:6	comment 39:4 50:20	24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1	convenience 5:21 53:18	29:2 66:8 70:7 82:5
chosen 25:7	comments 38:9	28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1	convenient 60:24	Dated 87:18 88:21
Christmas 73:25 75:4,5	commercial 40:4	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	conversation 72:13	dating 65:10

				1 450
day 87:19 88:21	18:20 22:23,25 23:21	75:14 84:11 85:24	established 19:23	10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1
<b>Deb</b> 34:17,19,20,20	26:12 36:6,10 37:8	<b>Doyle</b> 11:6	57:24	14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1
decide 17:14	37:12 38:13 39:7,13	drafted 58:24	estimate 12:15 30:18	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1
decided 36:12	39:24 46:9,23 47:2	Drive 2:6	71:4	22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1
decision 21:5,7 31:15	50:3 69:8,22	<b>driven</b> 62:13 63:10	estimates 38:3	26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1
31:18 42:3 73:20	developments 39:9	driving 81:24	Eureka 8:11	30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1
decisions 25:10	device 44:19	<b>dry</b> 15:18 16:8,10 22:9	<b>Europe</b> 52:6,7 53:4,5	34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1
decline 65:17	devices 85:13	41:13 47:15 67:9	65:15	38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1
declines 78:18,19	de-listed 79:19	drying 44:18,19 45:4	EUR/ROW 53:12	42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1
declining 65:5,7 78:3,5	<b>Diego</b> 82:24 83:2	<b>due</b> 65:6	evaluate 19:20,22	46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1
79:16	difference 64:12	duly 3:3 87:9	evaluating 17:7,9	50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1
decreased 78:16	different 13:11 18:24	during 48:13 79:9,17	even 46:23 47:12 54:24	54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1
Defendant 1:7 2:7	19:15,18 69:2,18	79:25 80:6 81:18	58:20,20,21	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1
defer 39:18 72:2	74:15,24,25 83:15	duties 8:19 10:19	ever 5:2,4 6:20 15:3	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1
define 21:20	difficult 55:16		41:22	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1
defined 24:20	direct 11:10	E	everything 10:23 77:9	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1
definitely 19:21	directly 17:6 22:15	E 2:3,3,8,8,11 53:3	81:20	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1
degree 8:6	director 9:24	78:14	evolutionary 49:22	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1
delivered 18:23	discuss 13:19 14:11,12	each 12:18,20,21 30:16	69:17,25	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1
delivering 60:22	49:10	earlier 36:17 52:15	exact 12:13	86:1.87:1 88:1
delivery 23:24 24:21	discussed 14:25 67:13	early 23:9 24:13 31:24	exactly 48:20	e-mail 12:4
demonstrated 40:14	83:19	85:12	<b>EXAM</b> 2:9	
department 16:24 32:8	discussion 73:21	East 1:20 2:6 87:7	<b>EXAMINATION</b> 3:5	F
depending 31:13	dispensing 65:14	Eastwood-Stein 1:19	85:9	<b>F</b> 2:8
deposed 5:2	distinction 4:16,18	87:7	examined 3:3	face 32:25 33:4
<b>deposition</b> 1:10,19 2:12	distinguishing 26:9	educational 8:2	example 19:19	fact 32:10 73:23 80:15
5:7,10 6:25 7:9,14,24	49:13	<b>effect</b> 74:21	except 5:8 55:15	factors 65:8 80:10
12:23 62:4,8 63:25	distribution 63:11	efficacy 23:5	excludes 78:8	81:20
64:10 76:16 77:22	81:22	eight 8:25 9:11 75:11	exclusions 78:8	failed 65:6
86:4 87:7	DISTRICT 1:1,2	75:12 77:4	execution 40:13	fair 4:24 26:19 29:25
describe 8:9 10:19	divisional 11:6	eighteen 9:17,22	exhibit 2:12,16 6:14,17	36:18 71:24
27:25 46:7	document 7:2 15:10,13	either 46:9 83:23 85:6	6:24 15:8,10 27:2	fairly 21:21 31:22
described 75:18	23:23 27:4,6,8,10	electric 2:13 27:5	32:5,20 33:17,25	fall 10:3 22:4 23:11,11
DESCRIPTION 2:12	32:14,22,24 33:4,13	eliminate 41:19 42:24	47:18 49:5,16 55:24	45:2 46:14 56:5
design 23:4 24:19,21	33:19 34:2,6,8,13,25	eliminating 42:13	56:21 59:6 61:22	58:24
25:23 26:7,18,23	35:6 47:22 54:11	ELLIS 2:6	62:6,7 63:15,16,17	familiar 83:4
31:13 36:13,16 37:2	56:2,6,9,12,13,15,21	elsewhere 41:18	64:3,13,15 68:15	fan 41:12,14 42:2,3,6,9
38:14 42:5,13,19	56:22 59:7,13,19	em 6:5 82:10	76:8 77:14 82:15	42:23
45:4,9,19,20 46:15	61:5,23 62:3,10	employees 76:22	Exhibits 2:14,16	far 23:3,3,23
49:2 50:18,25 51:5	63:18,20,24 64:5,7	employment 8:9	exist 85:15	favor 79:20
designed 18:25 50:15	67:3 68:16 76:9,15	end 60:21,25 62:11	existing 31:6,12	fax 12:4
designing 18:25 24:3	77:15 82:15,17,18,22	75:13,17	expect 52:18 67:21,23	feasibility 17:8 35:22
50:25	documents 7:13,16,17	ending 28:25 31:21	67:24	37:15 38:8 51:20
despite 65:5	7:20 13:17,19 14:9	78:9 79:4	expectation 52:11	54:4,22
detailed 64:14	14:11,12,13 57:13	engineering 19:3 24:2	expected 31:5 35:13	feasible 75:9
determine 32:11	64:12 68:18	engineers 19:6	70:6	features 24:17 66:21
determined 35:16	doing 33:2 79:25	enjoined 73:14,22 74:8	expense 65:12	75:20
l .		74:8.9	experienced 63:9	February 82:23
develop 17:14 22:19	dollar 68:9 78:19	74:8,9 enough 4:25	experienced 63:9 experiencing 63:9	February 82:23 feedback 53:23
<b>develop</b> 17:14 22:19 73:19,23	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16	enough 4:25	experiencing 63:9	feedback 53:23
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9	enough 4:25 enter 60:25	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5	<b>feedback</b> 53:23 <b>feel</b> 4:6,17 41:16
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13 16:22 17:20 22:19	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3 30:23 31:2 36:6	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9 entering 46:11	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21 expires 87:25 88:24	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4 field 28:24 31:21 76:21
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13 16:22 17:20 22:19 37:6 39:5	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3 30:23 31:2 36:6 50:12 52:2,5,5,7 53:5	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9 entering 46:11 entitled 15:10 54:4	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21 expires 87:25 88:24 explained 18:13 32:9	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4 field 28:24 31:21 76:21 fielded 59:5
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13 16:22 17:20 22:19 37:6 39:5 developing 10:16 11:3	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3 30:23 31:2 36:6 50:12 52:2,5,5,7 53:5 53:5 59:3 62:24	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9 entering 46:11 entitled 15:10 54:4 55:7 65:21 77:6	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21 expires 87:25 88:24 explained 18:13 32:9 extent 71:19 72:12	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4 field 28:24 31:21 76:21 fielded 59:5 files 7:18
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13 16:22 17:20 22:19 37:6 39:5 developing 10:16 11:3 36:3 37:2 46:7 73:13	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3 30:23 31:2 36:6 50:12 52:2,5,5,7 53:5 53:5 59:3 62:24 67:17 78:24 81:19	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9 entering 46:11 entitled 15:10 54:4 55:7 65:21 77:6 entry 67:7	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21 expires 87:25 88:24 explained 18:13 32:9 extent 71:19 72:12 external 44:10	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4 field 28:24 31:21 76:21 fielded 59:5 files 7:18 filter 43:22 44:9,14
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13 16:22 17:20 22:19 37:6 39:5 developing 10:16 11:3 36:3 37:2 46:7 73:13 development 10:23	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3 30:23 31:2 36:6 50:12 52:2,5,5,7 53:5 53:5 59:3 62:24 67:17 78:24 81:19 down 24:18,22 35:9	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9 entering 46:11 entitled 15:10 54:4 55:7 65:21 77:6 entry 67:7 essentially 46:5,24	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21 expires 87:25 88:24 explained 18:13 32:9 extent 71:19 72:12 external 44:10 EYES 1:1 2:1 3:1 4:1	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4 field 28:24 31:21 76:21 fielded 59:5 files 7:18 filter 43:22 44:9,14 85:13,17,23,24
develop 17:14 22:19 73:19,23 developed 15:15,18,21 15:25 16:3,7,10,13 16:22 17:20 22:19 37:6 39:5 developing 10:16 11:3 36:3 37:2 46:7 73:13	dollar 68:9 78:19 done 18:4,11,15,16 20:9 22:22 23:6,9 26:2,16 27:23 29:3 30:23 31:2 36:6 50:12 52:2,5,5,7 53:5 53:5 59:3 62:24 67:17 78:24 81:19	enough 4:25 enter 60:25 entered 67:9 entering 46:11 entitled 15:10 54:4 55:7 65:21 77:6 entry 67:7	experiencing 63:9 expert 15:5 expertise 26:21 expires 87:25 88:24 explained 18:13 32:9 extent 71:19 72:12 external 44:10	feedback 53:23 feel 4:6,17 41:16 few 61:4 field 28:24 31:21 76:21 fielded 59:5 files 7:18 filter 43:22 44:9,14

44:22,23

important 60:18

improperly 31:9

Page 4	•
61:10	77:9 79:22 81:10,17
I .	81:20 83:15 84:9
finalize 26:23	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
finalized 31:15	front 5:8 49:16
findings 59:2	full 10:22
fine 82:12	<b>further</b> 23:2 24:3 36:9
finished 24:9	37:2 38:13 51:11
fins 66:22	86:2 87:12
firm 3:11	i
first 3:3 8:19,21 11:22	G
12:10,12 17:14,22	gain 65:11 80:14
27:8 34:4 46:19 47:2	gains 79:10 81:24
47:10,25 48:10 49:22	general 9:18,20 26:15
•	,
56:4,12 59:10,19	75:23
60:14 61:25 62:10	generalization 75:19
63:17 83:7 87:9	give 5:13
<b>fiscal</b> 64:14 71:6,7,7,11	given 34:9
<b>fit</b> 23:24	gives 35:21 37:16 38:8
fits 44:11	gleaned 32:4
five 7:12	global 34:16 35:15
fix 6:5	51:21
Flaherty 1:14 87:5,22	globally 52:4,5
flashlights 10:3	GmbH 1:3
foil 15:21 16:3,10,15,17	go 5:6 11:14 12:10
20:6 28:12,13,20	19:25 24:9,14,15
29:10 30:12,12 31:14	31:7 33:18 37:10
32:15 46:12 50:8,12	56:9,14,17 57:16
50:14,18 51:2 54:24	64:18 69:13 70:16
55:15,17 57:22 58:7	72:3,19 77:2 85:4,10
59:21 66:19,22 67:6	goal 61:15,16 75:23,23
67:9,11 69:3,8 78:3,5	75:25
78:12,13,16 79:10,16	goes 60:9
79:18,20,22 80:12,14	going 4:11 21:6 25:12
80:22 81:5	31:7 33:16 38:2
foils 41:13	45:23,23 54:14 55:6
following 8:10 26:17	57:12 59:6 61:22
60:9	63:15 76:5,6 84:4,5
follows 3:4	84:20,20 85:4
force 83:4	gone 3:19
forecast 55:5	gonna 13:3,24 71:18
forecasts 24:25	good 4:24 53:15
foregoing 87:12,15	graduate 8:15
88:9	Gray 2:3 3:12
1	great 5:18
<b>forgotten</b> 22:21 <b>form</b> 13:8 15:24 19:10	grew 68:9
43:25 47:6 48:18	group 24:2 32:7 34:16
50:21 54:15 62:17,21	34:17 35:16 40:25
73:9,16 79:2 80:2	56:7
forty-five 12:19	grow 60:16 67:25
<b>foundation</b> 13:25 62:23	growing 61:2,3 80:18
63:5 82:7	grown 68:6,11
<b>four</b> 8:13 61:7,8	<b>growth</b> 62:12 65:9 78:4
from 3:11 7:18 8:3	78:10 80:16
10:23 20:11,16 22:25	guess 21:14 26:8 51:22
24:14,16 26:9 32:4	62:22,22 72:2
32:11 33:5,14 40:9	guys 4:3
41:19,20 48:25 55:9	
66:19 67:21 72:12,14	H
1	

```
H 2:11 81:4
half 85:18
hand 32:21 33:16 59:6
  61:22 63:15
handing 47:19 55:23
  63:14 76:8 77:14
happened 22:22 74:18
hard 5:21 26:8
have/have 88:11
having 3:3 26:8
head 5:15 6:7
heading 19:5 35:22
headquarters 76:23
hearing 1:10 8:22,23
heater 44:19
heavy-duty 9:8
help 65:11
helped 65:11
her 4:2 5:21 11:19,20
   11:22,23,24 12:4,8
   12:12,16,22,25 13:17
   14:15,25
hereto 2:14
Heroka 25:19,20
Herota 25:17,18
high 22:12 75:13,17
higher 38:2 58:20,22
   60:25
highest 23:4
highly 58:13
high-end 59:21 62:13
  84:9
him 19:6 62:22 63:5
  68:18 79:12
 history 8:9
Hold 71:12 72:10
 holiday 73:22,25
 hoping 38:5
 hour 12:19
 hours 7:12
 Houseworld 78:7
 housing 43:23 44:4,6
   44:10
 hypothetical 55:8,8,12
   55:17
 idea 31:18 60:8
 ideas 49:23 50:8,10
 identification 6:15 15:9
   27:3
 Illinois 2:6 8:12
 illustration 18:13 40:6
   53:24
 impact 67:19 71:4
   80:16
```

```
improved 61:11
improvement 38:4 41:7
 41:15,20 44:25
improvements 41:8,9
 41:11.17
inaccurate 85:22
include 4:14 21:22,22
  21:23 50:4
includes 40:10 77:9
including 24:19
Incorporated 3:21
increase 37:22
incremental 20:21,24
incrementally 67:25
  68:11
Indiana 8:5
indicated 33:5
indicates 32:25
indicating 32:18
individual 19:6
individually 15:23
industry 78:5
information 13:10
informed 72:12
infringed 48:7,24
infringing 48:17
initiative 19:5 41:15
  44:25
injunction 71:5,10
innovation 34:16 35:15
innovative 66:20 75:20
input 16:25
instance 21:21 30:22
instead 44:18
instruct 13:4 45:10
  71:23 72:13
instruction 72:11
instructions 2:13 15:11
integrate 41:18
integration 10:5
intended 54:20 64:13
  64:15 77:8
intent 19:14,19,25 20:2
  20:25 28:6 30:5
  31:24 32:13,16,22
  33:6,7,13,14
interest 33:15
internal 19:3 32:7
  76:24,25 82:25
internet 30:22
interpret 65:2
interpreted 32:9
interrupt 51:16
introduction 65:9
```

investment 63:10 invisible 46:5 involved 17:6,7 46:9,15 48:5,13,25 65:13 74:24 81:24 involvement 17:4,17 48:9 involves 75:20 in-market 60:15 irrelevant 46:6 issue 39:20,21 72:17 issues 39:4,8,23 72:4 item 25:10 30:7 items 57:22

Page 27 of 33 Sean Martin

# jail 6:3 **Jim** 4:2 11:6 19:6 **job** 8:20 9:14,21,23 53:15 joined 59:14 judge 5:8 **July** 84:4,4,5,14,21 **jumping** 68:23 **June** 1:11 24:13 78:9 79:4 June/July 52:21 jury 5:8 just 3:14 4:3,20,22 5:6 5:21 6:2 7:19 13:10 13:13 16:16 18:16,16 22:20 23:2 25:11 26:13,17 27:18,24 28:15 32:11 46:21 48:5,22 49:10 54:9 54:25 57:14 58:4 61:4,9 62:6,25 65:14 65:17 69:5,10,13 71:13,13,13,18 72:11 72:17 85:4,11

K **Kari** 1:14 87:5,22 keep 76:5,6 Kevin 2:6 5:25 7:10 13:16 85:3 key 79:19 81:5 KIRKLAND 2:6 know 4:18 5:18,22 6:3 6:5 11:17,19,20 14:7 16:16 17:16 19:4 21:6 25:7,11,16 26:16,17,22,24,24 33:7 37:25 42:21 45:25 48:10,11,14,22 53:13,16 54:11 59:3 59:24 62:19 68:14

implemented 42:2

73:7,10 75:17 82:9
82:11 85:2,10
knowledge 82:9 88:11
knows 63:2
Kristin 82:18,20
L
L 2:8 10:25 34:22 81:4
labeled 27:4 29:5 35:4
lack 13:24 62:22 82:6
larger 40:5
last 12:14,16 23:10
29:11 58:6,8 68:6,9
late 65:11
launch 20:23 22:4
28·18 38·14 44·24
28:18 38:14 44:24 52:15,23 55:6 59:20
67:18 70:7,9 75:13
83:13 84:20
launched 29:11 46:14
58:7 65:12,24 66:2,4
66:8,16 83:11,14,21
83:21,22
launches 77:10
launching 28:15 66:11
67:15
Laura 11:17 13:15
law 3:11
lawyer 5:9
leader 64:21,23 65:4
learned 47:2
least 37:18
legal 48:15
length 27:16
let 4:18 6:2,5 33:19
54:9 56:10 69:13
letter 4:2 12:6
let's 6:12 19:25 22:20
55:2 56:14 57:16
63:17 64:18 69:5,13
69:13 71:11
level 20:8 22:12 23:4
levers 81:23
liable 48:17
Liang 2:3,9 3:6,11,22
4:10,24 6:12,16,19
6:23 7:7,22 11:14
13:6,12 14:2,10 16:4
16:6 19:24 21:15,24
25:21 26:6,14 31:11

32:19 33:9,20,24

45:13 47:9 48:21

49:4 50:24 51:12

54:18 56:18 57:15

62:18,24 63:6 68:19

36:21,23 37:14 44:5

70.7 10 75.17 90.0

68:21,24 70:13 71:15 71:24 72:18,23 73:12 73:18 76:3,7 78:2
79:5,14 80:5 82:8 85:2,7,12 86:3 <b>lifting</b> 66:22
lighting 9:25 10:2,3 likability 19:15 28:7 like 4:6,17 5:15 29:21
32:19 43:14,18 58:15 58:19,22 66:21 71:22 73:10
likely 25:6 44:24 likes 58:11,18,20 limited 31:10
line 18:8 35:9,13,20 37:15 38:7,16,21 39:10 40:16 46:21
49:22 54:6 59:25 62:14 63:10 66:4,7 66:14,20 80:18 83:19
83:25 84:16 lines 16:2 33:15 84:7 liquid 20:12,16 21:2
25:14 28:22 29:14,19 29:23 30:8,10 32:2 37:23 38:2 40:19
41:5 45:8 48:7,16 list 60:9 listed 54:24 55:11
78:18 listen 14:4 litigation 7:21 little 60:14 68:17 73:4
80:3 live 82:14 located 8:12 85:18,23
location 44:13,14,16 85:13 locked 24:18,22 52:21
long 7:11 8:24 9:5,10 9:14,21 12:18 71:24 longer 37:6
look 33:19 47:20 54:9 57:5,6 63:17 69:5 85:5
looked 7:3 32:15 41:10 48:6,10 49:2 60:22 62:6 68:25 69:10
looking 13:10 27:21 32:11 33:25 60:20 looks 58:19
lost 79:19 80:22 81:5,5 lot 11:5 68:23 lotion 65:13

loyalty 22:12,13

	_
M	
M 1:14 11:17,17 78:14	l
87:5,22	ļ
made 18:2 21:5 25:9	١
31:19 36:4 39:12	l
42:3 45:24	l
	l
Madison 1:12 34:23	l
major 24:8	
make 4:16,17 21:6	Į
25:12 28:11 31:7	l
38:10 41:17 43:10	l
45:6	l
makes 25:14	١
managed 8:12	ĺ
management 1:19	l
60:19 64:16,16 87:7	١
manager 8:22 9:3,8,12	١
9:15,19	l
manufacturing 24:24	١
25:2	١
many 11:8 12:15 38:9	l
March 87:25	١
March/April 10:11	ı
mark 6:12 38:8	١
marked 2:12,16 6:14	ı
6:16,24 15:8,10 27:2	١
	I
27:4 32:20 33:17	ı
47:18 49:5 55:23	١
63:14,16 64:19	ł
market 11:4 16:15 20:5	١
20:24 21:3,18,25	١
22:6 24:10,15 27:13	١
28:14,16 29:11 31:6	ı
32:7 41:4 43:6,11,12	Į
46:8 56:7 57:25	1
60:21 65:4 78:8	١
79:23	١
marketing 8:8 10:22	ı
11:12 16:24 20:9	1
32:8 40:24 59:7,13	
62:24 64:4,14 67:17	١
77:8 81:21 82:9	١
marketplace 14:18	ı
17:8 21:12,16 40:20	١
42:8,11,16 43:4,5	1
67:10 68:5 84:23	۱
85:15	ļ
Martin 1:10 2:9 3:2,8	١
6:14,16,24,25 7:20	
15:8,10 27:2,4 32:20	١
33:2 88:15	-
MASSACHUSETTS	ı
1:2	
materials 11:3 24:20	1
83:3 85:5	
matrix 19:19	
İ	1

may 20:22 68:2 83:7,14
maybe 43:9
MBA 8:8,16
mean 5:16 32:25 33:4
35:24 37:20 38:19
45:2 51:7,15 52:2,9
62:22 72:16
meant 26:14
measure 19:14 57:20
measurement 19:15
measures 28:5
mechanical 23:15
24:19 25:23 26:6,18
26:23 46:5
media 77:9
meet 11:22
meeting 34:8 76:18,19
76:20,24,25 82:25
83:2,3
men 16:11
mentioned 53:17 55:22
69:16 77:11 78:6
men's 10:7,20,20 14:18
16.2 0.27.5 20.22
16:3,8 27:5 30:23
33:25 46:20 49:21
55:7,17 59:12 64:4
67:12 69:2,8,22
76:18
76:18
merged 17:19
merged 17:19 met 11:23
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23 66:15 69:12 80:8
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23 66:15 69:12 80:8 model 28:20
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23 66:15 69:12 80:8 model 28:20 modifications 41:6
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23 66:15 69:12 80:8 model 28:20
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23 66:15 69:12 80:8 model 28:20 modifications 41:6
merged 17:19 met 11:23 Michael 34:18,22 Michael 34:18,22 Michelle 56:8 MicroScreen 29:8,17 56:10 57:2,6,25 middle 75:12 Middleton 3:10 mid-tier 84:11 might 4:22 24:5,7 71:5 million 70:23,25 71:2 Milwaukee 1:20 87:3,8 87:18 minds 60:23 mine 32:17 mini 66:22 minutes 12:19 mischaracterization 57:13 mix 10:23 81:21 Mm-hmm 8:18 29:7 60:6,11 61:14 65:23 66:15 69:12 80:8 model 28:20 modifications 41:6 42:22 43:3 45:6,7

Monkoski 56:8 month 28:19 58:4 66:5 months 8:25 9:6,11,17 9:22 12:14,16 78:20 79:6,7 more 4:7 5:16 23:12,14 26:15,15 39:7 50:18 50:18 51:5,8,8 62:25 64:13,15 73:4,7 80:4 80:12 85:3 most 25:6 44:24 MSC-140 83:7,9,17 MS-2 28:21 66:4 83:15 83:19 MS-3 83:25 MS-5000 66:14 MS-5100 83:25 MS-5200 83:25 much 5:17 36:15 39:6 40:5 64:13 68:8,13 multiple 5:22 26:16 must 59:20 myself 87:10 N 2:3,8,8 name 3:7,11,19 national 43:7 76:18,19 76:22 82:25 **nature** 55:18 necessarily 32:6 64:22 74:11 necessary 4:9 24:16 need 4:6 5:13 24:6,18 26:22 needed 36:6,9 73:23 needs 26:2 33:7 neither 50:19 74:20 never 11:23 74:18 new 2:4,4 31:7,13 34:16 38:10 43:10 55:8,20 57:20 59:21 65:21 67:18 69:17,24 75:13,17,20,22 77:9 83:7 84:14 next 18:7,9,20,22 22:23 26:22 35:12,20 37:15 38:7,16,21 39:3 43:7 43:11 44:24 45:2 52:19,21 55:13 60:5 60:14 69:20 72:3 80:19

nine 9:6

nod 5:15

non 74:8

nodded 6:7

noise 39:8,19,20

56:13 64:20,20 65:20

67:4 69:6 70:5,19

81:14 86:3

others 36:10 81:10,10

Page o				
none 74:20	72:19,22 76:2,4 77:5	81:13,14,18	80:6,16 81:18	12:22 35:3 62:3,8
Nope 77:13	80:21 85:7	out 28:11 34:9 36:19	permanently 45:17	63:25 64:9 76:16
Norelco 21:22,25 22:14	once 4:4 10:4 18:7	38:6 40:19 82:4	person 30:21	77:22
65:4,6 67:6,9 81:10	24:22 43:8 57:6	outside 25:5 44:6	perspective 41:21	prepare 7:8
81:15,18 82:4	one 5:20 11:10,14 25:7	over 3:23 10:6 18:7	pertaining 7:17	prepared 29:21 82:22
North 51:22,23 52:3,3	27:18 28:13 29:8,14	38:4 46:25 55:9 68:6	phase 17:25 46:12	presentation 64:17
52:6,7 53:6 65:15	30:4,9,11 36:13,16	68:9	phasing 79:19 80:12	presented 29:20
Notary 87:10,24 88:18	40:9 43:2 47:24	overall 78:3,4	phasings 81:5	<b>Presently</b> 36:22,23
noted 88:12	49:13 51:5 54:9 57:5	oversight 82:19	Phillips 15:3	president 11:6
notes 87:17	57:5 60:14 61:7,8,9	overview 77:6,8	phone 12:8	pressure 40:5
nothing 10:15 82:3	61:10 68:25 69:17	own 7:18 20:16 21:23	picked 81:6	pretty 23:22 84:23
86:2	70:2 71:22 74:14		pictured 28:4	previous 26:10 55:14
notice 2:12 6:24 33:8	77:4 79:7	P	<b>piece</b> 41:22,24 42:19	previously 2:16 33:17
<b>November</b> 76:13,23	ones 33:6	P 2:3,3 10:25	45:14,15	47:18 49:5 55:23
<b>NPD</b> 78:7,24,24	only 1:1 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	packaging 81:23	<b>Pierce</b> 34:17,20	63:14,16
number 15:12 27:22	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	page 2:9,12,16 27:21	<b>pipeline</b> 55:8 69:9,10	pre-read 82:24
28:20 30:15 32:18,21	11:1,20 12:1,8 13:1	27:24,25 28:5 29:5	69:23	<b>price</b> 28:7 35:12,13,15
33:12 35:21,24 37:16	14:1 15:1 16:1,15	35:3,5 49:19 50:6	place 1:12 26:23 44:24	35:17,18 60:25 66:25
65:8 69:18 70:17	17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1	51:19 52:24 54:2,7,9	Plaintiff 1:4 2:4	84:11
71:2 79:18 80:10	21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1	54:13,19,20,24 55:2	plan 59:7,13 64:4,14	pricing 10:24 14:17,19
81:20,23	25:1 26:1 27:1,18	55:13,13,14,19 56:9	74:23 77:6,7,8	primarily 81:16
numbers 32:12,13 33:5	28:1 29:1 30:1,3 31:1	56:25 57:2 58:11,16	planning 11:4 72:15	prior 23:10 46:14
	32:1 33:1,4 34:1 35:1	59:19 60:5 62:10	planogram 43:9	47:12,12 48:8 52:23
O	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	64:19 65:21 67:2	planograms 43:8,16	78:11 79:6 83:3
O 2:8,8 53:3	39:20,25 40:1,14	69:5,7,8,10,20,21	52:20	<b>privilege</b> 13:5 45:11
<b>object</b> 13:3,8,24 15:24	41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1	72:3 75:12 77:6	plans 22:3,4 44:23	72:17
19:10 47:6 48:18	45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1	80:19 82:4	64:17 73:24 74:5,20	<b>probably</b> 7:12 9:11,17
50:21 54:15 57:12	49:1 50:1,12 51:1	pages 32:4 56:12 61:4	plastic 45:21,23	9:22 19:21 25:4,16
62:17,21 71:19 73:9	52:1,3 53:1,5 54:1	88:9	play 65:8 81:20	52:16 65:10,12
73:16 79:2 80:2	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	paired 28:9,10	please 3:7 4:18 26:20	<b>problem</b> 6:3 53:11,16
<b>objection</b> 13:6 26:4	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	Panasonic 81:2,4,16	29:6 35:4 65:19 67:3	53:16
31:9 32:23 37:9	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1	paper 29:21,22	68:15,22 77:2,24	proceedings 1:9 87:13
43:25 45:10 70:11	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	parameters 4:23	80:20 81:7,25 83:5	process 46:7 51:11
72:11 82:6	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	parity 20:4,7 31:25	pneumatic 30:3,20	produce 24:24,25 25:2
<b>objective</b> 19:13 57:18	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1	32:10	57:8,14	25:5 34:6,13 64:7
57:19,20	78:12 79:1 80:1 81:1	part 44:11 47:3 85:24	point 20:15 40:5 60:14	76:11 77:17,19 82:17
occasions 13:2	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	particular 38:14	60:18 61:10 62:11	produced 7:21 27:10
occur 73:24	86:1 87:1 88:1	parts 10:9	67:6 70:20 72:4	56:6 59:14 63:20,22
occurred 48:12	onto 31:6 46:10	party 25:5	points 41:18 60:25	68:19,21 76:13 80:11
off 6:13,20 11:14,16	open 11:10 72:4	patent 47:23,25 48:2,3	66:25 84:11	82:18 <b>product</b> 10:23 17:5,8
25:21,22 33:18,23	operating 15:11	48:8,11,24 49:3,6,7,9	pop 66:21 portion 13:14,23 71:17	1 -
56:14,16 72:19,21	operations 8:13	49:12,13 71:10 72:5	72:25	17:23 18:8,14,15,17
85:4,8	opinion 48:15	73:3,14,15	position 8:24 9:2,7	18:21 19:2 20:3,10 22:7,11,20,23,25
offering 66:24	opinions 53:12	patents 45:9 47:24	11:10	23:20,24 24:3,9,17
Offices 1:18	opportunity 52:22	48:17 70:21 <b>pending</b> 81:22	positioning 64:23 65:3	24:25 25:3,5,12,23
officially 3:21	opposed 68:17 optimization 18:22	people 5:22 11:8,12	positions 11:9	29:20 35:20 38:25
Oh 58:6 79:6	23:2 24:20 38:13	22:9 30:9 43:13	possible 18:17 30:18	43:2,11 46:21,23,25
okay 4:13 5:12,19,24 6:6 9:23,24 12:6	optimize 52:17	per 30:20	posted 79:10 80:6	57:21 62:16 65:6,13
· ·	options 74:6	percent 38:17 58:15,18	posting 78:4	66:20 69:8,22 71:5
13:12 16:5 19:12 20:4 21:19 33:11,16	order 26:23 60:24	68:10	potential 37:22 38:4	71:10 74:8,9 77:9
34:21 35:6,8 38:16	other 7:23 15:5 16:21	percentage 78:10	55:20 73:21 74:14	80:18
38:21 39:19 44:8	16:22 19:22 36:15	performance 39:8,13	potentially 55:21	products 9:25 10:7,8
47:22 49:18 52:25	40:20,23 44:14 53:6	41:7,11,20 61:11	PR 77:10	10:21 11:7 15:19,22
54:3,13 55:2,4,13,25	57:23 80:23,25 81:14		predated 17:16	20:16 21:17 22:15
JT.J,1J JJ.L,T,1J,LJ	J , . 23 00 . 23 , 23 01 . 1 T	F	15	

**period** 4:22 8:14 78:4,9

78:17 79:3,9,17,25

Preliminary 38:3 preparation 7:13,24 23:18 34:16,17 35:15

43:17 48:7,14,24

55:5,9 57:23 59:20	20:9,25 25:2 40:20	relates 32:21 33:12	respondents 30:19,21	running 61:12
60:20 62:19 65:3,21	47:12,14 59:15 76:25	relating 19:18	responsibility 10:22,25	<b>R-900</b> 66:7
67:22 74:7 79:20	read 13:14,22,23 55:16	relations 10:25	46:20,22	<b>R-9000</b> 66:9 84:14
81:23	71:15,17 72:23,25	relative 19:22 28:3	responsible 10:7 11:2	<b>R-9400</b> 65:22 70:6
Professional 87:6,23	88:9	39:20 41:7 53:24	rest 53:4,12	<b>R-9500</b> 46:13
progresses 75:14	ready 24:9,12,13,15	release 36:14	restate 47:7 54:16	R12413 60:5
project 28:24 31:21	35:7 42:8,11,16 43:4	remain 40:4	result 19:8,9,12,25	<b>R12417</b> 61:4
promoted 9:12,18,24	43:5,6 45:4 51:8	remains 45:22	32:4	R12430 62:10
promotion 10:24 81:22	52:12,19 56:19 75:4	remember 7:16 13:13	resulted 71:21	R12539 56:10
promotions 77:10	really 5:14,16 22:24	71:16	results 19:17 32:9	R12843 83:5
Proof 39:6	26:14,21,22,22 28:10	<b>Remington</b> 3:15 4:14	60:15,21 79:23	<b>R13245</b> 27:21
prototype 15:12 17:25	43:8,11 46:4,23	4:15 10:6,7,13,16	retail 35:12,13,18	R13246 29:6
18:2,4,12 40:3,14,15	52:21,22 54:13 63:2	11:7 14:21,22 17:18	52:20 76:20	R13436 77:24
50:15	81:15 82:11	19:3 27:4 39:6 46:11	retailers 43:7,8,13,16	<b>R13618</b> 77:2,3
prototypes 23:18	reason 4:16,17 6:8	46:19 47:4,13,14	review 7:13 14:8 34:25	<b>R13796</b> 70:4
provide 13:17 24:24	12:25 57:9 79:24	48:6,14,20 59:14	35:5 56:11 62:3,7	<b>R13813</b> 70:16,18
provided 7:18 28:3	reasons 60:9,10	65:9 78:14,16 79:16	63:24 64:9 76:15	<b>R13814</b> 72:3
32:8 83:2	recall 12:13 14:14 15:2	80:11 81:15,17 85:13	77:21	<b>R13866</b> 75:10
provides 23:4 53:19	15:4 30:17 38:20,23	Remington/Braun 81:9	reviewed 7:20 35:6	<b>R2544</b> 35:4
PSI 40:5	49:8 53:14 77:23	Remington/Rayovac	37:18 47:22 54:11	·
public 10:24 87:10,24	received 8:5,7	4:5	56:13	<u> </u>
88:18	receiving 8:16	removability 45:21	reviewing 56:15	<b>S</b> 2:3,8,11 11:17 34:22
publically 22:4	recent 78:3	removable 43:23 45:15	revolutionary 49:22	<b>safe</b> 40:4
pump 40:10,11	recently 46:21	45:17	right 4:19 8:17 9:16	safety 24:6 39:8,23
purchase 19:14,19,21	rechargeability 58:23	removal 42:2,6	11:11 14:20 15:15,19	sales 8:11,12 11:3
19:22,25 20:2 28:6	rechargeable 9:3	remove 42:3,23	17:12,20 18:18 20:20	20:11,16,22 67:21
30:5 31:24 32:13,16	recollection 54:20	removed 42:10	21:4,12,13,15,16	70:25 71:6 76:18,19
32:22 33:6,6,12,14	58:12 83:20	removing 41:14	22:2 23:15 24:8,9	76:20,21,21 78:3,5
33:15	record 3:7,22 5:17 6:13	repeat 13:12 71:13,14	25:12,12 27:19 28:15	78:10,18 82:25 83:2
pursuing 51:16	11:14,16 25:21,22	replace 74:7,8	28:17,24 29:12,15	83:3
put 4:23 21:18 71:2	33:8,18,23,24 56:14	replaces 83:25	30:13 31:16 35:23	same 5:22 9:13 21:3
	56:16 72:20,21 85:5	report 11:5,8,9 19:6	36:7 38:18 44:7	26:13 51:13 55:14
Q	85:8	36:4 58:24 78:21,23	45:16,19 47:5,16	68:25 72:11,11 79:9
quality 40:4 41:11	reduced 80:11 87:13	reported 87:13	49:10,15 50:9,25	88:10
quantitative 19:18 28:2	refer 3:24 4:11 37:23	reporter 1:14 5:14 6:21	51:3,12,17 52:12,19	Samuel 15:3
question 4:21 13:9,13	49:25 81:14	87:6,23	52:20 54:14 57:19	San 82:24 83:2
13:21 14:6,6 15:25	REFERENCED 2:16	reporting 1:18 32:7	58:4,9 66:5 67:16	saw 27:8 47:25
26:9,13,19 44:2	referred 16:18 23:14	78:7	70:24 73:18 74:10,18	saying 73:7
50:23 54:17 59:17	52:10 81:16	reports 11:11 82:20	75:7 78:12,14 79:10	says 28:24 29:8 31:21
71:13,14 72:16,23	referring 4:21 53:8	represent 3:12 7:19	80:7 82:3 84:12	35:9,12,20 37:17
82:3	69:4 76:19,20	38:4	85:14	38:9,9,17,22 39:5
questions 5:10 6:5,9	refers 37:21 38:12 50:2	representatives 76:22	risk 68:2 71:3 73:21	40:3,9,13 49:22 50:7
26:11 63:5 85:3,11	53:18,23 54:11 56:25	represented 23:23	risks 67:5 70:20	50:10 51:20 52:9
85:12 86:3	57:3 73:23 83:10	37:22	robust 64:13	53:2,11,15,20 55:17
quick 33:21	reflect 4:5 32:20,21	represents 70:25 78:7	Ropes 2:3 3:12	56:10 58:11 59:6,20
quotes 80:23 81:10,11	33:6 80:17	requested 13:14,23	rotaries 65:9	60:7,14 61:10 62:11
	reflected 33:3 35:25	48:20 71:17 72:25	rotary 15:11,22 16:8	65:4,4,22 66:4,7,14
R	58:14 79:21,23	required 38:10,13,22	22:11,14 30:23 31:13	67:6 69:2 70:20,23
R 2:3 38:22,24 53:3,3	reflects 28:2	38:24 39:7	40:14 46:13 50:10,16	72:3,5 75:12 76:18
61:6 78:14	refresh 83:20	requires 40:3	50:19 51:2 54:4,21	78:3 79:13,15 80:22
Randolph 2:6	regard 45:20	research 17:7 27:13	55:7,18 59:21 68:3	83:7,25 84:4,14
rank 30:4	regardless 22:5,15	32:7 56:7,23	69:22 79:20,22 80:13	science 8:6
rating 54:23	Regent 1:12	reserve 66:22	84:16	scope 49:21 62:23
Rayovac 1:6 3:13,16	Registered 87:5,23	resets 43:7	roughly 8:25 9:6 12:19	score 38:16
3:23,25 4:12,15 8:15	related 19:16 32:13	resources 19:3	28:19	scored 58:12,18 60:4
8:19,20 10:12 14:20	39:25 60:2 73:21	respect 28:5 49:12	RPR 1:14	scores 19:18 28:2,5
15:5,16 17:14,18	74:6 75:21,21	54:21	rules 5:6	30:7 57:22 58:14

Page 8
60:3,20 63:11
score's 33:14
Sean 1:10 3:2,8 6:25
88:15
second 11:15 27:21
54:9 56:9 58:11,22
62:11 67:5 70:20
71:12 72:10 see 18:12,15 29:22,23
30:4 35:10 49:23
55:2 59:22,23 60:5
60:12,16 61:13 62:14
67:7 70:21 72:6 73:2
75:15 79:6 80:23
81:11 84:2
seems 26:10 70:6
seen 6:25 15:12 27:6 34:2 48:2 49:6 56:2
59:7 61:22 63:18
64:4 76:8 77:14
82:15
segment 61:2,3 65:10
67:24 68:3,5 78:6
segments 67:25 80:17
selected 25:9
self-charging 53:19
self-cleaning 53:19
58:9,12,17,20 selling 22:11,13
senior 9:12,15 64:16
sense 43:10
sent 4:2 54:21
separate 30:9 47:5
<b>September</b> 27:9 34:5
34:11 36:5 38:23
59:2 63:23 69:9,23
75:8 77:19 series 28:21 84:14
85:11
set 21:21 22:17 35:18
43:8,10 52:20 57:5
69:19
sets 30:9,16
settle 39:7
seven 61:7,8
shake 5:15
Shamota 4:2
share 62:12 65:5,11
80:14,22 81:9,17,24 shave 53:21
shaver 2:13 15:11,19
15:22 16:13,15 22:9
27:5,22 28:10,10,12
28:15,20,21 29:8,8
29:10,18 31:7 35:10
36:15 37:16 38:7,16
39:3 40:15 41:19

```
47:15 51:2,3 54:6,7
 59:21 63:13 65:14
 66:19,23 67:9,11
  74:12,15 83:10
shavers 16:3,3 28:13
  31:6,12 46:18 49:21
  50:7 51:6 60:3 75:21
  79:11,16,18 80:12
  84:9
shaving 10:8,8,15,20
  10:21 14:18,23,24
  16:17 34:2 46:21,22
  47:4 48:7,7,14 55:21
  59:12 64:4 67:12
  76:18
sheet 88:12
shelf 43:17
shift 79:22
shifted 80:12
ship 84:4,5,20
shipped 84:14
ships 84:4
shorter 61:12
shorthand 87:16
shortly 42:11,17 43:6
show 32:13,17 43:17
showing 68:18
shown 53:24
sic 30:3
significant 20:8 39:11
significantly 58:22
similar 33:12 55:18
  69:10
simpler 36:16
since 8:16,17 23:12,15
  48:22 68:11
sit 38:5 85:24
six 8:25 9:11 15:12
  50:6 75:11,11,12,12
  77:4 78:20 79:6
slash 53:3
solve 53:11
solving 53:15
some 4:23 5:6 20:22
  22:8 36:5,9 41:6 60:9
  68:2 73:5 79:20
  80:14 84:10 85:5
somebody 82:20
something 4:7 31:11
  43:18 45:24 63:2
   73:11 74:17
sometime 12:13 29:11
  52:19 58:24
soon 84:23
sorry 6:22 10:2 12:10
   13:20 14:5 21:10
   28:9 34:19 41:13
```

51:15 66:10 68:20
70:17,18 75:6 81:3
sort 5:13 75:23 79:21
Sounds 4:24
space 80:12
spare 10:9
sneak 5:20 7:23 12:22
speak 5:20 7:23 12:22 12:25 13:15 15:5
38:14 39:15
speaks 38:12,21
specific 26:15 53:12
73:4,11 75:21
specifically 19:4 33:3
55:22 56:7 69:16
80:4
specification 24:23
specifications 24:18
26:18
Spectrum 3:16,19,21
4:15
spill-proof 61:12
spoke 7:10 12:12 19:8
27:16
spoken 11:24 12:8,15
15:3 39:10
spring 10:11,12,17
17:19,22 23:9 48:22
59:11 61:18 62:2
stage 51:14
Stamm 11:17 13:15
stand 23:20 stands 23:22 45:19
Stanley 2:3 3:11
started 8:21 10:5 17:22
46:19 48:22 59:11
starting 8:3
state 3:7 87:2,10,24
88:19
statement 11:2 29:2
STATES 1:1
statically 20:8 statistical 32:10
status 45:20
step 17:23 18:7,9,20,22
steps 24:15 26:22
still 3:23 22:7 24:2 36:5
38:24
stood 69:9,23
strategic 11:4
strategy 75:13,19,25
Street 1:12
strength 81:21
strictly 10:12
Strike 8:20 45:7 56:11
strong 60:2 63:11 65:5
78:4 79:10 80:6
studied 8:5

studies 8:15 62:25 study 78:24 81:19 success 62:13 63:9 65:10 successful 40:13 62:16 62:20 65:15,16 suffered 65:17 suggestion 40:9 suggests 53:20 suit 47:24 48:3 49:9 summarizes 56:22 **summary** 64:16 **summer 43:7** supervision 87:15 support 65:5 sure 8:4,11 10:22 33:20 33:22 47:21 50:22 54:10 59:18 72:18,18 82:11 **survey** 30:22 sworn 3:3 87:9 Syncro 20:6 54:6,24 57:3,7,10,17,23 58:16,19,21 60:15 62:14,19 63:10,12 Synovate 27:11,12,13 56:23 system 14:17,19,20,23 15:15 16:7,17,18,19 16:22 17:2,11,12,15 20:6,15,21 21:2,3,12 21:18,25 22:5,6,8,13 22:14,16 23:3 24:21 25:8,15 26:12 27:19 27:23 28:4,8,22 29:10,14,18,19,24,24 30:7,8,10,11,12,24 30:24 31:2,5,8,25 32:2 35:10 37:17,21 37:23,24,25 38:2,3,6 38:7,12,15,17 39:4 39:21,22 40:2,7,15 40:18,19 41:4,5,7,15 41:17 42:6,9,23 43:3 43:24,24 44:6,20 45:4,8 46:3,8,10,13 46:13,16,18 47:3,8 47:11,15 48:17 50:4 50:13,15,16,17,18,19 51:2 52:15,16,18 53:19 54:6,24 55:10 55:19 57:23 58:7,9 59:22 60:4,7,15 63:12 65:22 66:12,18 66:20,24 67:14,15,18 67:22,24,24 68:4,5 69:14,24 70:14 72:5

73:14 74:10,13,15,24 74:25 75:3 80:15 82:5 83:17,23 84:10 84:12,18 85:18 systems 14:18,24 16:21 20:5,11,12,23 21:2 21:23 40:21,23 51:14 51:17 60:22 67:19 84:7

Т **T** 2:8,8,8,11 11:17 take 5:14,22,25 6:2 9:2 9:7 20:10,15 24:14 26:22 33:20 35:4 38:5 47:19,20 52:16 54:9 67:21,23 68:2 76:3 85:7 taking 5:9 66:23 81:9 81:17 84:9 talk 14:15 45:14 talked 14:16,17 22:18 36:16 37:12 41:24 talking 26:7 43:13 53:22 75:6 talks 49:21 tank 66:23 target 61:15,16 targeting 52:13 team 40:9 technical 22:24 23:16 23:17 35:21,22,24 36:2 54:22 technological 54:4 technologies 36:8,11 technology 36:3,6,15 52:9,10 55:21 64:21 64:22 75:13,17,22 telephone 12:2 tell 8:2 35:7 73:7 telling 18:16 terms 23:20 30:4 test 2:13 18:11 27:5,23 29:2 30:3 57:8,14,18 57:19,20 58:13 tested 17:5 22:18 29:17 30:9,10,11,15,21 31:25 35:15 46:2 50:7 56:24 testified 3:4 85:17 testing 18:4,15 19:9,9

> 19:13.13 20:3 22:21 22:22 23:3,6,12,14

23:15,16,17,19 27:15

50:12,14 52:2,5 53:9

27:18 29:23 30:23

54:7 57:4,9 59:3,4

53:25 text 18:13 Thanks 82:14 their 28:5 43:8 62:12 62:13 63:9,10,11 65:3,12,16 67:17 79:10 80:11,16 themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 5:24 14:5 15:24 6:5 19:10 21:13,19 6:4,8 31:9 32:24	unless 4:16 54:25 until 10:16,16 52:12,16 52:21 upcoming 55:5 usage 17:9 use 27:13 30:5,19 43:17 used 41:12 user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20  V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11 42:16 58:13 72:4	22:11,13 26:7 30:9 30:15 32:10 34:8 36:8,8,11,11 46:11 46:15 47:3 48:5 49:14 50:2 52:13,14 54:6 57:11,16,23,24 60:22 61:2 65:15 73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	47:7 48:19 51:12 54:16 56:20 78:2,24 83:6 year 10:10 23:11 29:11 43:7,9 44:24 45:2 52:19,21 58:8 68:9 71:6,7,7,11 76:13 77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22 \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
text 18:13	:10 77:24 80:19 :7,25 83:5 ing 58:16 64:3 :20 ve 61:6 78:20 79:7 ity-four 9:17,22 e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19 U 3:3 ind 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	52:21 upcoming 55:5 usage 17:9 use 27:13 30:5,19 43:17 used 41:12 user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20  V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	36:8,8,11,11 46:11 46:15 47:3 48:5 49:14 50:2 52:13,14 54:6 57:11,16,23,24 60:22 61:2 65:15 73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	83:6 year 10:10 23:11 29:11 43:7,9 44:24 45:2 52:19,21 58:8 68:9 71:6,7,7,11 76:13 77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
Thanks 82:14 their 28:5 43:8 62:12 62:13 63:9,10,11 65:3,12,16 67:17 79:10 80:11,16 themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	:7,25 83:5 ing 58:16 64:3 :20 ve 61:6 78:20 79:7 ity-four 9:17,22 e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 ind 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	upcoming 55:5 usage 17:9 use 27:13 30:5,19 43:17 used 41:12 user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20   V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	46:15 47:3 48:5 49:14 50:2 52:13,14 54:6 57:11,16,23,24 60:22 61:2 65:15 73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	year 10:10 23:11 29:11 43:7,9 44:24 45:2 52:19,21 58:8 68:9 71:6,7,7,11 76:13 77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
their 28:5 43:8 62:12 62:13 63:9,10,11 65:3,12,16 67:17 79:10 80:11,16 themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	ing 58:16 64:3 :20 ve 61:6 78:20 79:7 ity-four 9:17,22 e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 ind 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	usage 17:9 use 27:13 30:5,19 43:17 used 41:12 user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20   V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	49:14 50:2 52:13,14 54:6 57:11,16,23,24 60:22 61:2 65:15 73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	43:7,9 44:24 45:2 52:19,21 58:8 68:9 71:6,7,7,11 76:13 77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
62:13 63:9,10,11 65:3,12,16 67:17 79:10 80:11,16 themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	:20 ve 61:6 78:20 79:7 ve 61:6 78:20 79:7 vty-four 9:17,22 e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	use 27:13 30:5,19 43:17 used 41:12 user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20  V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	54:6 57:11,16,23,24 60:22 61:2 65:15 73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	52:19,21 58:8 68:9 71:6,7,7,11 76:13 77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
65:3,12,16 67:17 79:10 80:11,16 themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	ve 61:6 78:20 79:7  aty-four 9:17,22 e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	used 41:12 user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20 V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	60:22 61:2 65:15 73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	71:6,7,7,11 76:13 77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
79:10 80:11,16 themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	aty-four 9:17,22 e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19 U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	user 32:15 uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20  V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	73:17,24 74:5,7 79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	77:19 78:11,22 79:7 83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
themselves 17:10 things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	e 12:17 43:9 11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	uses 17:11 using 29:23 40:10,14 44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20 V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	79:16 80:10,18 81:17 87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	83:7 years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
things 26:16 43:16 think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9 18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	11:10 12:14,16 :2 19:20 20:7 30:9 :16 32:16 43:3 :5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19 U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	U.S 47:23 53:15,20  V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	87:13 weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	years 8:13 68:6 yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
think 4:2,8 6:8 13:9  18:18 26:19 28:25  32:24 33:2 38:21  42:22 57:13 63:4  68:2 84:8 85:5,17  third 29:5  three 11:9 16:2 35:21  35:24 37:16 38:8  49:19 56:12 57:2  through 3:19 5:6 11:20  tick 51:21,22  time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20  5:23,25 6:2 8:14  12:10,12,18,20,21  17:24 20:25 21:3	:2 19:20 20:7 30:9 ::16 32:16 43:3 ::5 56:25 61:9 ::12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19 U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19;23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	44:18 U.S 47:23 53:15,20  V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	weren't 49:12 65:16 we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	yep 49:20 78:19 yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
18:18 26:19 28:25 32:24 33:2 38:21 42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	1:16 32:16 43:3 1:5 56:25 61:9 1:12 69:9 1:12 69:9 1:14 cally 30:19 U 3:3 1:3 cmd 2:6,10 3:24 1:4 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 1:24 14:5 15:24 1:5 19:10 21:13,19 1:4,8 31:9 32:24	V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	we're 4:7 6:3 26:15 28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	yesterday 7:4,10 34:25 62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
32:24 33:2 38:21 51: 42:22 57:13 63:4 64: 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 two-tind 29:5 types three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 7:1 12:10,12,18,20,21 13: 17:24 20:25 21:3 16:	:5 56:25 61:9 :12 69:9 thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19 U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 6:5 19:10 21:13,19 6:4,8 31:9 32:24	V V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	28:15 37:2 38:5 42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	62:7 63:25 64:9 76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
42:22 57:13 63:4 68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	42:25 43:13 51:13 66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	76:15 77:21 York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
68:2 84:8 85:5,17 third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3 two-titypec typec typic 1053: 1053: 1120 typic 1053: 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 112	thirds 35:9 writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	V 34:22 Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	66:23 75:6 76:4 84:8 we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	York 2:4,4 Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
third 29:5 three 11:9 16:2 35:21 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3  typec typic typic 12:10,12,18:20 12:10,13:10 13:10,12,18:20 16:10,18:10,18:20 16:10,18:10,18:	writing 87:14 cally 30:19  U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	Valparaiso 8:4,5 value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	we've 4:4 19:23 41:14 83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	Yuri 19:5 26:25 39:16 39:18 Z Z 34:22 \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
three 11:9 16:2 35:21 typic 35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 13:17:24 20:25 21:3 typic typic 15:23,25 6:2 8:14 7:1 12:10,12,18,20,21 13:17:24 20:25 21:3 typic typic 15:24 38:8	U 3:3 3:19 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	value 22:8 28:7 Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	83:19 WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	39:18  Z Z 34:22  \$ \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
35:24 37:16 38:8 49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	U 3:3 and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	Vaszily 34:18,22 vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	WGY 1:5 while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	Z Z 34:22 \$ \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
49:19 56:12 57:2 through 3:19 5:6 11:20 tick 51:21,22 time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 12:10,12,18,20,21 17:24 20:25 21:3	3:3 3:10 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	vendor 25:8,9,10 27:13 version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	while 56:15 69:10 whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	<b>Z</b> 34:22 \$ \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
through 3:19 5:6 11:20 U 53: tick 51:21,22 Uelan time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 4:2 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 7:1 12:10,12,18,20,21 13: 17:24 20:25 21:3 16:	3:3 3:10 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 3:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	version 64:14 versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	whole 54:13 wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	<b>Z</b> 34:22 \$ \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
tick 51:21,22 Uelar time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20 4:2 5:23,25 6:2 8:14 7:1 12:10,12,18,20,21 13: 17:24 20:25 21:3 16:	and 2:6,10 3:24 20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 5:24 14:5 15:24 6:5 19:10 21:13,19 6:4,8 31:9 32:24	versions 18:24 versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	wholly 72:14 WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	\$ \$70 35:12 \$8 70:23,25 71:2
time 1:13 4:22,23 5:20       4:2         5:23,25 6:2 8:14       7:1         12:10,12,18,20,21       13:         17:24 20:25 21:3       16:	20 6:18,20,22 7:5 19,23 13:3,8,20,22 1:24 14:5 15:24 6:5 19:10 21:13,19 6:4,8 31:9 32:24	versus 3:13,13 37:22 40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	WI 1:12 Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	<b>\$70</b> 35:12 <b>\$8</b> 70:23,25 71:2
5:23,25 6:2 8:14 7:1 12:10,12,18,20,21 13: 17:24 20:25 21:3 16:	19,23 13:3,8,20,22 5:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	40:10 49:13 61:12 78:10 very 28:24 39:3 42:11	Winding 3:10 winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	<b>\$70</b> 35:12 <b>\$8</b> 70:23,25 71:2
12:10,12,18,20,21 13: 17:24 20:25 21:3 16:	5:24 14:5 15:24 5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	78:10 <b>very</b> 28:24 39:3 42:11	winning 60:8 Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	<b>\$8</b> 70:23,25 71:2
17:24 20:25 21:3	5:5 19:10 21:13,19 5:4,8 31:9 32:24	very 28:24 39:3 42:11	Wisconsin 1:20,20 3:10	
1	5:4,8 31:9 32:24	, -		
25:24 26:9 27:8 34:4   26:	-	42:16 58:13 72:4		
			8:7 87:2,8,8,11,18,24	0
1	3:18,22 36:20,22	via 12:4	wise 37:15	03 1:5
	7:9 43:25 45:10	viable 38:11	Withdraw 21:11	<b>04</b> 23:11 34:11 48:23
t t	7:6 48:18 50:21	vice 11:6	witness 2:9 3:2 6:7 7:3	58:25 75:6,8
	:10 54:15 56:14,17	vintage 15:12	7:6 13:4 14:8 19:12	<b>05</b> 65:22,24 66:4,7,11
1 '	7:12 62:17,21 63:4	volume 65:16 68:3	21:20 26:11 33:19	66:14,16 70:7 75:4,5
	3:17,20,23 70:11	70:25 78:16	37:11 44:3 47:7	<b>06</b> 45:2 69:25
1 '	1:12,18 72:10,19,22	W	48:19 50:22 54:16	<b>07</b> 52:12
	3:9,16 76:5 77:25	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	71:19 73:10,17 79:3	1
1 ' ' 1	9:2,12 80:2 82:6	W 53:3 81:4	80:3 87:9 88:2	
1 ' ' ' 1	5:4,10 86:2	Wahl 81:2,4,16	women's 10:8,21 15:22	1 2:12 6:14,17,24
	nuh 5:16 36:23	Wal-Mart 43:13 78:8	16:13,15 31:2 46:16	1st 83:7 10 2:17 47:18
I	mately 20:23 67:25	want 5:6,25 16:16 22:9	46:18,22 67:11	<b>100</b> 30:19
	lear 4:21	25:11 33:20 48:5,22	won 36:18	100 30:19 10020 2:4
	efined 45:22	62:22 71:13 72:16	wondering 4:3	11 2:18 49:5 51:19
	er 35:22 39:3 49:21	76:3 wanted 3:15 4:3	work 11:5 24:23	64:19
i i	0:7 51:20 53:2,11 3:20 59:20 61:9,9	wanted 3:13 4:3 wants 6:2	worked 8:11,15,22	11:15 86:4
9	•	wants 6:2 wasn't 46:9 53:5	working 9:3 24:2 47:12 59:11	11:15 80:4 1109 3:10
1 -	7:5 70:20 71:2	way 3:10 13:9,11 23:24	world 53:4,6,13	<b>12412</b> 59:20
	3:19 87:14 <b>ergraduate</b> 8:14	26:13 41:12 65:2	worse 74:7	<b>12428</b> 1:5
	ergraduate 8:14 erstand 3:14 6:4	85:21	worse 74:7 wouldn't 46:4 52:12	1251 2:4
1	3:2 30:6 44:2 49:11	well 5:21 10:8 14:23	written 59:25 61:15	<b>12540</b> 58:17
	4:23 71:14	20:6 24:17 26:14	73:19 75:8	<b>13245</b> 33:10
	erstanding 49:2	41:20 45:18 52:6	wrote 73:5	<b>13436</b> 78:2
	erstanding 49:2 1:13	57:24 60:21 62:24	W1010 13.3	<b>13437</b> 80:19
	erstood 50:23	63:7 69:5 71:18 73:5	X	<b>13442</b> 81:7
I I	queness 19:14 28:6	79:25	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 2:11	<b>13462</b> 81:25 82:2
	queness 19.14 28.0 0:5	went 74:20	12.11	<b>13790</b> 68:22 69:20
	ITED 1:1	were 5:7 6:20 7:16,17	Y	<b>15</b> 2:13
1 0 0	ts 78:19	7:20 8:19,24 9:21	Y 34:22	<b>150</b> 40:5
1 ·	versity 8:4,7	10:12 18:23,24 19:17	yeah 6:21 21:15 44:3	18 87:25
50.0 51.17 54.2 55.2 Oniv	, or or or or or or or or or or or or or	10.12 10.23,21 17.17		

Document 212

Page 10				
18-cell 2:13 27:5	<b>400</b> 1:20 87:7			
1993 8:6	<b>41</b> 2:19 61:22 67:2			
<b>1998</b> 8:8,17,21	<b>414</b> 1:21,21			
1998 0.0,17,21			•	
2	<b>42</b> 2:18 55:24 56:21			
	<b>43</b> 2:17 33:17,25 49:16			
<b>2</b> 2:13 15:8,10	<b>45</b> 55:2	1		
<b>2.5</b> 37:18	46 55:13			
<b>20</b> 88:24	<b>47</b> 2:17			
<b>200</b> 2:6 30:19	<b>48</b> 58:15		:	
2001 68:11	<b>49</b> 2:18			
<b>2003</b> 10:3 59:7,13	5			
<b>2004</b> 10:11,12,17 17:19				
17:22 27:9 29:13	<b>5,649,566</b> 49:6			
34:5 36:5 38:24 55:9	<b>5,711,328</b> 47:23			
56:5 59:2,5,11 61:18	<b>53202</b> 1:20			
62:2 63:23 69:9,23	<b>539</b> 58:11			
74:3 76:13 77:19	<b>55</b> 2:18			
78:9,21,22,25 79:4	<b>5500</b> 29:8 30:11 56:10			
<b>2005</b> 1:11 23:9 64:15	57:2,6,9,17,25			
65:21 71:8 82:23	<b>56</b> 2:22 82:15			
83:14 87:19 88:21	<b>59</b> 2:19			·
<b>2006</b> 24:13 34:2 45:3	6			
49:21 50:7 69:17				
71:11	6 2:12 60601 2:6			
<b>2007</b> 52:9,13,17 55:9	61 2:19	-		
<b>2008</b> 87:25 <b>22</b> 38:17	63 2:20			
<b>25</b> 2:20 63:15,17,17	64 2:20 58:18			ļ
64:13 68:15,15	04 2.20 38.18			
<b>2520</b> 49:19	7			
<b>2520</b> 49.19 <b>2523</b> 50:6	<b>740</b> 1:12			
<b>2528</b> 51:19	<b>76</b> 2:21			
<b>2547</b> 52:24	77 2:21			1
<b>2554</b> 54:2	77 2.21			
<b>2561</b> 55:3	8			
<b>2562</b> 55:13	<b>8-23-04</b> 28:25 31:21			
<b>27</b> 2:13	8.0 70:23,23			
<b>271-0566</b> 1:21	<b>82</b> 2:22			
273-7308 1:21	85 2:10			
<b>28</b> 1:11 2:21 35:3 77:14				
<b>2826</b> 64:19	9	1		
<b>2838</b> 65:19	9 1:13			
<b>2856</b> 67:2	<b>9-2-2004</b> 69:3			
<b>29th</b> 34:5,11 36:5	<b>90</b> s 65:11			
	<b>9000</b> 66:10			
3				
<b>3</b> 2:9,13 27:2,4				
<b>30</b> 68:9				
<b>31</b> 52:24				
<b>33</b> 2:17				
<b>35</b> 2:20 63:16 64:3,15				1
64:18		:		
<b>36</b> 77:25				
<b>38</b> 2:21 54:2 76:8				
<b>39</b> 2:19 59:6 62:7				
4				
	l .	I .	l .	<u> </u>